

The heart of a combinatorial model category

Zhen Lin Low

27 February 2014

Abstract

We show that small model categories satisfying certain size conditions can be completed to yield a combinatorial model category, and conversely, that every combinatorial model category arises in this way. We also show that these constructions preserve right properness and compatibility with simplicial enrichment.

Introduction

Category-theoretic homotopy theory has seen a boom in recent decades. One development was the introduction of the notion of ‘combinatorial model categories’ by Smith [1998]. These correspond to what Lurie [HTT] calls ‘presentable ∞ -categories’ and are therefore a homotopy-theoretic generalisation of the locally presentable categories of Gabriel and Ulmer [1971]. The classification of locally κ -presentable categories says that each one is equivalent to the free κ -ind-completion of a κ -cocomplete small category, and Lurie proved the analogous proposition for presentable ∞ -categories, so it should at least seem plausible that every combinatorial model category is generated by a small model category in an appropriate sense.

Indeed, the work of Beke [2000] suggests that more should be true. As stated in the abstract of the cited paper,

If a Quillen model category can be specified using a certain logical syntax (intuitively, ‘is algebraic/combinatorial enough’), so that it can be defined in any category of sheaves, then the satisfaction of Quillen’s axioms over any site is a purely formal consequence of their being satisfied over the category of sets.

Department of Pure Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK. E-MAIL ADDRESS: `Z.L.Low@dpmmms.cam.ac.uk`

and similarly, we can show that the question of whether a set of generating cofibrations and trivial cofibrations in a locally presentable category really do generate a combinatorial model category depends only on an essentially small full subcategory of small objects. More precisely:

Theorem. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a locally presentable category, and let $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{I}'$ be subsets of $\text{mor } \mathcal{C}$. Suppose κ and λ are regular cardinals satisfying the following hypotheses:*

- *$\mathcal{M}$ is a locally κ -presentable category, and κ is sharply less than λ .*
- *$\mathbf{K}_\lambda(\mathcal{M})$, the full subcategory of $\mathcal{M}$ spanned by the λ -compact objects, is closed under finite limits in $\mathcal{M}$.*
- *There are $< \lambda$ morphisms between any two κ -compact objects in $\mathcal{M}$.*
- *$\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{I}'$ are λ -small sets of morphisms between κ -compact objects.*

Then the weak factorisation systems cofibrantly generated by $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{I}'$ underlie a model structure on $\mathcal{M}$ if and only if their restrictions to $\mathbf{K}_\lambda(\mathcal{M})$ underlie a model structure on $\mathbf{K}_\lambda(\mathcal{M})$.

The techniques used in the proof of the above theorem are easily generalised, allowing us to make sense of a remark of Dugger [2001]:

[...] for a combinatorial category the interesting part of the homotopy theory is all concentrated within some small subcategory—beyond sufficiently large cardinals the homotopy theory is somehow “formal”.

For illustration, we will see how to validate Dugger’s principle in the case of right properness and axiom SM7.

The author’s own motivation for seeking such a result is rooted in a more foundational question. The practice of category-theoretic homotopy theory is often non-elementary, involving techniques such as transfinite induction or drawing on classical results from the homotopy theory of topological spaces; as such, it is difficult to judge whether these homotopical constructions are independent of the choice of set-theoretic universe (if one uses such devices). For instance, suppose $\mathbf{U}$ is a universe contained in strictly larger universe $\mathbf{U}^+$, and suppose $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{I}'$ are sets that generate a combinatorial model structure on a locally presentable $\mathbf{U}$ -category $\mathcal{M}$; then, given a locally presentable $\mathbf{U}^+$ -category $\mathcal{M}^+$ extending $\mathcal{M}$, do $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{I}'$ also generate a combinatorial model structure on $\mathcal{M}^+$? Happily, the main theorem implies the answer is affirmative.

The structure of this paper is as follows:

- §1 is an overview of the basic theory of accessible categories. General references for this topic include Chapter 2 of [LPAC], and Chapter 5 of [Borceux, 1994].
- §2 sets up our notation and terminology regarding factorisation systems.
- §3 contains the definition of various kinds of model categories.
- §4 is an analysis of some special cases of the theorem of Makkai and Paré on weighted 2-limits of accessible categories,^[1] with a special emphasis on the index of accessibility of the categories and functors involved.
- §5 establishes the main result: that every combinatorial model category is generated by a small model category, and conversely, that small model categories satisfying certain size conditions generate combinatorial model categories.

The material appearing in the first three sections is not new and is included for the convenience of the reader. The results appearing in the fourth section are technical and probably well known to experts; readers familiar with such topics may wish to skip directly to the last section.

Acknowledgements

The author is indebted to Jiří Rosický for bringing [theorem 4.13](#) to his attention: without this fact, it would have been impossible to control the index of accessibility of all the various subcategories considered in the proof of the main result. Thanks are also due to David White for many helpful comments.

The author gratefully acknowledges financial support from the Cambridge Commonwealth, European and International Trust and the Department of Pure Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics.

1 Accessibility

To avoid confusion, let us recall some basic terminology:

Definition 1.1. Let κ be a regular cardinal.

- A **κ -small set** is a set of cardinality $< \kappa$.
- A **κ -small category** is a category with $< \kappa$ morphisms. A **κ -small diagram** is a functor whose domain is a κ -small category.

[1] See Theorem 5.1.6 in [Makkai and Paré, 1989], or [LPAC, §2.H].

Definition 1.2. Let κ be a regular cardinal.

- A **κ -filtered category** is a category $\mathcal{J}$ with the following property:
 - For each κ -small diagram A in $\mathcal{J}$, there exist an object j and a cocone $A \Rightarrow \Delta j$.

A **κ -filtered diagram** in a category $\mathcal{C}$ is a functor $\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ where $\mathcal{J}$ is a κ -filtered category.

- A **κ -directed preorder** is a preordered set X that is κ -filtered when considered as a category, i.e. a preorder with the following property:
 - For each κ -small subset $Y \subseteq X$, there exists an element x of X such that $y \leq x$ for all y in Y .

A **κ -directed diagram** in a category $\mathcal{C}$ is a functor $\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ where $\mathcal{J}$ is a κ -directed preorder (considered as a category).

In both cases, it is conventional to omit κ when $\kappa = \aleph_0$.

Lemma 1.3 (Products of filtered categories). *Let κ be a regular cardinal and let $(\mathcal{J}_i \mid i \in I)$ be a set of κ -filtered categories.*

- (i) *The product $\mathcal{J} = \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{J}_i$ is a κ -filtered category.*
- (ii) *Each projection $\pi_i : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_i$ is a cofinal functor.*

Proof. (i). We may construct cones over κ -small diagrams in $\mathcal{J}$ component-wise.

(ii). Similarly, one can show that the comma categories $(j_i \downarrow \pi_i)$ are connected for all j_i in $\mathcal{J}_i$ and all i in I . ■

Definition 1.4. Let α be an ordinal. An **α -chain** in a category $\mathcal{C}$ is a functor $\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, where we have identified α with the well-ordered set of ordinals $< \alpha$.

REMARK 1.5. If α is an ordinal with cofinality κ , then α is a κ -directed preorder. In particular, α -chains are κ -directed diagrams.

Definition 1.6. Let κ and λ be regular cardinals.

- A **(κ, λ) -compact object** in a locally small category $\mathcal{C}$ is an object A such that the representable functor $\mathcal{C}(A, -) : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ preserves colimits for all λ -small κ -filtered diagrams. We write $\mathbf{K}_\kappa^\lambda(\mathcal{C})$ for the full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$ spanned by the (κ, λ) -compact objects.

- A **κ -compact object** in a locally small category is an object that is (κ, λ) -compact for all regular cardinals λ . We write $\mathbf{K}_\kappa(\mathcal{C})$ for the full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$ spanned by the κ -compact objects.

Example 1.7. Given a regular cardinal κ , a set is κ -small if and only if it is a κ -compact object in **Set**.

REMARK 1.8. Although every $\aleph_0$ -small (i.e. finite) category is $\aleph_0$ -compact as an object in **Cat**, not every $\aleph_0$ -compact object in **Cat** is $\aleph_0$ -small. The difference disappears for uncountable regular cardinals.

Lemma 1.9. *Let κ and λ be regular cardinals. If $B : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a κ -small diagram of (κ, λ) -compact objects in a locally small category, then the colimit $\varinjlim_{\mathcal{D}} B$, if it exists, is also a (κ, λ) -compact object in $\mathcal{C}$.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that colimits for small κ -filtered diagrams in **Set** preserve limits for κ -small diagrams. ■

Definition 1.10. Given a regular cardinal κ , a **κ -accessible category** is a locally small category $\mathcal{C}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- $\mathcal{C}$ has colimits for all small κ -filtered diagrams.
- There exists a set $\mathcal{G}$ of κ -compact objects in $\mathcal{C}$ such that, for each object B in $\mathcal{C}$, there exists a small κ -filtered diagram in $\mathcal{C}$ whose vertices are in $\mathcal{G}$ and whose colimit is B .

A **locally κ -presentable category** is a κ -accessible category that is also cocomplete. An **accessible category** (resp. **locally presentable category**) is a category that is κ -accessible (resp. locally κ -presentable) for some regular cardinal κ .

Definition 1.11. Given a regular cardinal κ , a **κ -accessible functor** is a functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ where F preserves colimits for small κ -filtered diagrams and $\mathcal{C}$ is a κ -accessible category. An **accessible functor** is a functor that is κ -accessible for some regular cardinal κ .

Theorem 1.12. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be an essentially small category and let κ be a regular cardinal. There exist a κ -accessible category $\mathbf{Ind}^\kappa(\mathcal{B})$ and a functor $\gamma : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ind}^\kappa(\mathcal{B})$ with the following universal property:*

- *For any κ -accessible category $\mathcal{D}$, the induced functor*

$$\gamma^* : \mathbf{Acc}_\kappa(\mathbf{Ind}^\kappa(\mathcal{B}), \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow [\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{D}]$$

is fully faithful and surjective on objects, where $\mathbf{Acc}_\kappa(\mathbf{Ind}^\kappa(\mathcal{B}), \mathcal{D})$ denotes the full subcategory of $[\mathbf{Ind}^\kappa(\mathcal{B}), \mathcal{D}]$ spanned by the κ -accessible functors.

Moreover, the functor $\gamma : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ind}^\kappa(\mathcal{B})$ is fully faithful and injective on objects. This is the **free κ -ind-completion of $\mathcal{B}$** .

Proof. See Theorem 2.26 in [LPAC]. $\square$

Definition 1.13. Let κ and λ be regular cardinals and let $\mathcal{P}_\kappa(X)$ denote the set of all κ -small subsets of a set X . We say κ is **sharply less than** λ if

- $\kappa < \lambda$, and
- for all λ -small sets X , there exists a λ -small cofinal subposet of the poset $\mathcal{P}_\kappa(X)$.

We define $\kappa \triangleleft \lambda$ to mean that κ is sharply less than λ .

Example 1.14. If λ is an uncountable regular cardinal, then $\aleph_0 \triangleleft \lambda$: indeed, for any λ -small set X , the set $\mathcal{P}_{\aleph_0}(X)$ itself is λ -small.

Example 1.15. Let κ be a regular cardinal and let κ^+ be its cardinal successor. Then $\kappa \triangleleft \kappa^+$: every κ^+ -small set can be mapped bijectively onto an initial segment α of κ (but possibly all of κ), and it is clear that the subposet

$$\{\beta \mid \beta \leq \alpha\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_\kappa(\alpha)$$

is a κ^+ -small cofinal subposet of $\mathcal{P}_\kappa(\alpha)$: given any κ -small subset $X \subseteq \alpha$, we must have $\sup X \leq \alpha$, and $X \subseteq \sup X$ by definition.

Theorem 1.16. Let κ and λ be regular cardinals, and suppose $\kappa < \lambda$. The following are equivalent:

- (i) $\kappa \triangleleft \lambda$.
- (ii) For any κ -directed poset X and any λ -small subset $Y \subseteq X$, there exists a λ -small κ -directed subposet $X' \subseteq X$ with $Y \subseteq X'$.
- (iii) Any κ -accessible category is also a λ -accessible category.

Proof. See Theorem 2.11 in [LPAC]. $\square$

Proposition 1.17.

- (i) The binary relation $\triangleleft$ is transitive.
- (ii) If $\kappa \leq \lambda$, then $\kappa \triangleleft (2^{<\lambda})^+$, where $2^{<\lambda} = \sup \{2^\mu \mid \mu \text{ is a cardinal } < \lambda\}$ and $2^\mu = |\mathcal{P}(\mu)|$, and also $\kappa \triangleleft (2^\lambda)^+$.
- (iii) For any set K of regular cardinals, there exists a regular cardinal λ such that $\kappa \triangleleft \lambda$ for all κ in K .

Proof. (i). See Proposition 2.3.2 in [Makkai and Paré, 1989], or [theorem 1.16](#).

(ii). See Proposition 2.3.5 in [Makkai and Paré, 1989], or Example 2.13(5) in [LPAC], or Proposition 5.4.7 in [Borceux, 1994].

(iii). This follows from claim (ii). $\square$

It is commonplace to say ‘ λ -presentable object’ instead of ‘ λ -compact object’, especially in algebraic contexts. The following proposition justifies the alternative terminology.

Proposition 1.18. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a κ -accessible category. If λ is a regular cardinal and $\kappa \triangleleft \lambda$, then the following are equivalent for an object C in $\mathcal{C}$:*

- (i) C is a λ -compact object in $\mathcal{C}$.
- (ii) There exists a λ -small κ -filtered diagram $A : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that each A_j is a κ -compact object in $\mathcal{C}$ and $C \cong \varinjlim_{\mathcal{J}} A$.
- (iii) There exists a λ -small κ -directed diagram $A : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that each A_j is a κ -compact object in $\mathcal{C}$ and C is a retract of $\varinjlim_{\mathcal{J}} A$.

Proof. (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii). See Proposition 2.3.11 in [Makkai and Paré, 1989].

(i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii). See Remark 2.15 in [LPAC]. $\square$

Lemma 1.19. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a κ -accessible category, let A be a κ -compact object in $\mathcal{C}$, and let B be a λ -compact object in $\mathcal{C}$. If the hom-set $\mathcal{C}(A, A')$ is μ -small for all κ -compact objects A' in $\mathcal{C}$ and $\kappa \triangleleft \lambda$, then the hom-set $\mathcal{C}(A, B)$ has cardinality $< \max\{\lambda, \mu\}$.*

Proof. By [proposition 1.18](#), there is a λ -small κ -filtered diagram $Y : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ with each vertex κ -compact in $\mathcal{C}$ and $B \cong \varinjlim_{\mathcal{J}} Y$. Since A is a κ -compact object in $\mathcal{C}$, we have

$$\mathcal{C}(A, B) \cong \varinjlim_{\mathcal{J}} \mathcal{C}(A, Y)$$

and the RHS is a set of cardinality $< \max\{\lambda, \mu\}$ by [lemma 1.9](#). $\blacksquare$

Proposition 1.20. *Let κ and λ be regular cardinals, with $\kappa \leq \lambda$, let $\mathcal{C}$ be a κ -accessible category, and let $\mathcal{D}$ be any category. Given an adjunction of the form below,*

$$F \dashv G : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

the following are equivalent:

- (i) $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ sends κ -compact objects in $\mathcal{C}$ to λ -compact objects in $\mathcal{D}$.
- (ii) $G : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ preserves colimits for small λ -filtered diagrams.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Given a κ -compact object C in $\mathcal{C}$ and a small λ -filtered diagram $B : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}\left(C, G \varinjlim_{\mathcal{J}} B\right) &\cong \mathcal{D}\left(FC, \varinjlim_{\mathcal{J}} B\right) \cong \varinjlim_{\mathcal{J}} \mathcal{C}(FC, B) \\ &\cong \varinjlim_{\mathcal{J}} \mathcal{C}(C, GB) \cong \mathcal{C}\left(C, \varinjlim_{\mathcal{J}} GB\right) \end{aligned}$$

because FC is a λ -compact object in $\mathcal{D}$; but κ -accessibility of $\mathcal{C}$ implies that the Yoneda representation $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow [\mathbf{K}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{C})^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Set}]$ is fully faithful and reflects colimits for small κ -filtered diagrams, so this is enough to conclude that G preserves colimits for small λ -filtered diagrams.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Given a κ -compact object C in $\mathcal{C}$ and a small λ -filtered diagram $B : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}\left(FC, \varinjlim_{\mathcal{J}} B\right) &\cong \mathcal{C}\left(C, G \varinjlim_{\mathcal{J}} B\right) \cong \mathcal{C}\left(C, \varinjlim_{\mathcal{J}} GB\right) \\ &\cong \varinjlim_{\mathcal{J}} \mathcal{C}(C, GB) \cong \varinjlim_{\mathcal{J}} \mathcal{C}(FC, B) \end{aligned}$$

and thus FC is indeed a λ -compact object in $\mathcal{D}$. ■

2 Factorisation systems

Definition 2.1. A **weak factorisation system** for a category $\mathcal{C}$ is a pair $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R})$ of subclasses of $\text{mor } \mathcal{C}$ satisfying these conditions:

- For each morphism f in $\mathcal{C}$ there exists a pair (g, h) with $g \in \mathcal{L}$ and $h \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $f = h \circ g$. Such a pair is a $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R})$ -**factorisation** of f .
- A morphism is in $\mathcal{L}$ if and only if it has the left lifting property with respect to every morphism in $\mathcal{R}$, i.e. $\mathcal{L} = {}^{\square}\mathcal{R}$.
- A morphism is in $\mathcal{R}$ if and only if it has the right lifting property with respect to every morphism in $\mathcal{L}$, i.e. $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{L}^{\square}$.

REMARK 2.2. Obviously, $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R})$ is a weak factorisation system for $\mathcal{C}$ if and only if $(\mathcal{R}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{L}^{\text{op}})$ is a weak factorisation system for $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$.

Proposition 2.3 (The retract argument). *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category and let $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R})$ be a pair of subclasses of $\text{mor } \mathcal{C}$ such that $\mathcal{L} \subseteq {}^{\square}\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{L}^{\square}$. If every morphism in $\mathcal{C}$ admits an $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R})$ -factorisation, then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R})$ is a weak factorisation system.
- (ii) $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ are both closed under retracts in $\mathcal{C}$.

Proof. See Observation 1.3 in [Adámek, Herrlich et al., 2002]. $\square$

¶ 2.4. Let $\mathbf{2}$ be the category $\{0 \rightarrow 1\}$ and let $\mathbf{3}$ be $\{0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2\}$. Thus, given a category $\mathcal{C}$, the functor category $[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}]$ is the category of arrows and commutative squares in $\mathcal{C}$. There are three embeddings $d^0, d^1, d^2 : \mathbf{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{3}$:

$$\begin{array}{lll} d^0(0) = 1 & d^1(0) = 0 & d^2(0) = 0 \\ d^0(1) = 2 & d^1(1) = 2 & d^2(1) = 1 \end{array}$$

These then induce (by precomposition) three functors $d_0, d_1, d_2 : [\mathbf{3}, \mathcal{C}] \rightarrow [\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}]$.

Definition 2.5. A **functorial factorisation system** on a category $\mathcal{C}$ is a pair of functors $L, R : [\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}] \rightarrow [\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}]$ for which there exists a (necessarily unique) functor $F : [\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}] \rightarrow [\mathbf{3}, \mathcal{C}]$ satisfying the following equations:

$$d_2 F = L \quad d_1 F = \text{id}_{[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}]} \quad d_0 F = R$$

A **functorial weak factorisation system** on $\mathcal{C}$ is a weak factorisation system $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R})$ together with a functorial factorisation system (L, R) such that $Lf \in \mathcal{L}$ and $Rf \in \mathcal{R}$ for all morphisms f in $\mathcal{C}$. We will often abuse notation and refer to the functorial factorisation system (L, R) as a functorial weak factorisation system, omitting mention of the weak factorisation system $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R})$.

The following characterisation of functorial weak factorisation systems is essentially a generalisation of the retract argument (proposition 2.3).

Theorem 2.6. *Let (L, R) be a functorial factorisation system on a category $\mathcal{C}$. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *For any two morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$, say h and k , $Lk \sqsupseteq Rh$.*
- (ii) *$(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R})$ is an weak factorisation system on $\mathcal{C}$ extending (L, R) , where:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= \{g \in \text{mor } \mathcal{C} \mid \exists i \in \text{mor } \mathcal{C}. i \circ g = Lg \wedge Rg \circ i = \text{id}_{\text{codom } g}\} \\ \mathcal{R} &= \{f \in \text{mor } \mathcal{C} \mid \exists r \in \text{mor } \mathcal{C}. f \circ r = Rf \wedge r \circ Lf = \text{id}_{\text{dom } f}\} \end{aligned}$$

- (iii) *There exists a weak factorisation system $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R})$ extending (L, R) .*

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Let h and k be any two morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$, and let $g = Lk$, and $f = Rh$. Since $g \sqsupseteq Rg$ and $Lf \sqsupseteq f$, there must exist morphisms i and r making the diagrams below commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \xrightarrow{Lg} & W' \\ g \downarrow & \nearrow i & \downarrow Rg \\ W & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & W \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & X \\ Lf \downarrow & \nearrow r & \downarrow f \\ X' & \xrightarrow{Rf} & Y \end{array}$$

Thus, $g = Lk \in \mathcal{L}$ and $f = Rh \in \mathcal{R}$. The same argument now shows that $\square\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}^\square \subseteq \mathcal{R}$.

It remains to be shown that $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \square\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{L}^\square$. First, suppose $g \in \mathcal{L}$ and $f \in \mathcal{R}$, and consider the following lifting problem:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \xrightarrow{z} & X \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ W & \xrightarrow{w} & Y \end{array}$$

With r and i as in the first paragraph, we then obtain a commutative diagram of the form below,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} Z & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & Z & \xrightarrow{z} & X & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & X \\ \downarrow g & & \downarrow Lg & & \downarrow Lf & \nearrow r & \downarrow f \\ & & W' & \xrightarrow{t} & X' & & \\ & \nearrow i & \downarrow Rg & & \downarrow Rf & & \\ W & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & W & \xrightarrow{w} & Y & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & Y \end{array}$$

where the arrow t is obtained by the functoriality of (L, R) -factorisations. Thus, $r \circ t \circ i : W \rightarrow X$ is the required lift, and we conclude that $\mathcal{L} = \square\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{L}^\square$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Immediate.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). If $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R})$ is a weak factorisation system on $\mathcal{C}$ such that $Lh \in \mathcal{L}$ and $Rh \in \mathcal{R}$ for all morphisms h in $\mathcal{C}$, then we must have $Lg \square Rf$ for all f and g in $\text{mor } \mathcal{C}$, as required. $\blacksquare$

Proposition 2.7. *Let (L, R) be a functorial factorisation system on $\mathcal{C}$ and let $\lambda : \text{id}_{[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}]} \Rightarrow R$ and $\rho : L \Rightarrow \text{id}_{[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}]}$ be the natural transformations whose component at an object f in $[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}]$ correspond to the following commutative squares in $\mathcal{C}$:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bullet & \xrightarrow{Lf} & \bullet \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow Rf \\ \bullet & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \bullet \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \bullet & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \bullet \\ Lf \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \bullet & \xrightarrow{Rf} & \bullet \end{array}$$

Suppose (L, R) extends to a functorial weak factorisation system. Then the following are equivalent for a morphism $g : Z \rightarrow W$ in $\mathcal{C}$:

- (i) *The morphism g is in the left class of the induced weak factorisation system.*

(ii) *There exists a morphism i in $\mathcal{C}$ such that the diagram below commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 Z & \xlongequal{\quad} & Z & \xlongequal{\quad} & Z \\
 g \downarrow & & Lg \downarrow & & g \downarrow \\
 W & \xrightarrow{\quad i \quad} & \bullet & \xrightarrow{\quad Rg \quad} & W \\
 & \searrow \text{id} \nearrow & & &
 \end{array}$$

(iii) *The object g in $[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}]$ admits a coalgebra structure for the copointed endofunctor (L, ρ) .*

Dually, the following are equivalent for a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in $\mathcal{C}$:

(i') *The morphism f is in the right class of the induced weak factorisation system.*

(ii') *There exists a morphism r in $\mathcal{C}$ such that the diagram below commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{\quad Lf \quad} & \bullet & \xrightarrow{\quad r \quad} & X \\
 f \downarrow & & Rf \downarrow & & f \downarrow \\
 Y & \xlongequal{\quad} & Y & \xlongequal{\quad} & Y
 \end{array}$$

(iii') *The object f in $[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}]$ admits an algebra structure for the pointed endofunctor (R, λ) .*

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Consider the following commutative diagram in $\mathcal{C}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 Z & \xrightarrow{Lg} & \bullet \\
 g \downarrow & & \downarrow Rg \\
 W & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & W
 \end{array}$$

Thus, a morphism i of the required form exists in $\mathcal{C}$ as soon as $g \sqsupseteq Rg$.

(ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii). This is simply the definition of (L, ρ) -coalgebra.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). By definition, the morphism Lf is in the left class of the induced weak factorisation system; but the given diagram exhibits f as a retract of Lf , so we may apply [proposition 2.3](#) to deduce that f is also in the left class. $\blacksquare$

Definition 2.8. A weak factorisation system $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R})$ on a category $\mathcal{C}$ is **cofibrantly generated** by a subset $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \text{mor } \mathcal{C}$ if $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{I}^\boxtimes$.

Theorem 2.9 (Quillen’s small object argument). *Let κ be a regular cardinal, let $\mathcal{C}$ be a locally κ -presentable category, and let $\mathcal{I}$ be a small subset of $\text{mor } \mathcal{C}$.*

- (i) *There exists a functorial weak factorisation system (L, R) on $\mathcal{C}$ whose right class is $\mathcal{I}^\square$; in particular, there is a weak factorisation system on $\mathcal{C}$ cofibrantly generated by $\mathcal{I}$.*
- (ii) *If the morphisms that are in $\mathcal{I}$ are κ -compact as objects in $[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}]$, then (L, R) can be chosen so that the functors $L, R : [\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}] \rightarrow [\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}]$ are κ -accessible.*
- (iii) *In addition, if λ is a regular cardinal such that every hom-set of $\mathbf{K}_\kappa(\mathcal{C})$ is λ -small, $\mathcal{I}$ is λ -small, and $\kappa \triangleleft \lambda$, then (L, R) can be chosen so that the functors $L, R : [\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}] \rightarrow [\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}]$ preserve λ -compact objects.*

Proof. (i). See e.g. Proposition 10.5.16 in [Hirschhorn, 2003].

(ii) and (iii). These claims can be verified by tracing the construction of L and R and applying lemmas 1.9 and 1.19. $\square$


REMARK 2.10. The algebraically free natural weak factorisation system produced by Garner’s small object argument^[2] satisfy claims (ii) and (iii) of the above theorem (under the same hypotheses). The proof is somewhat more straightforward, because the right half of the resulting algebraic factorisation system can be described in terms of a certain density comonad.

3 Model structures

For the purposes of this paper, it will be convenient to use the following definition of model category:

Definition 3.1. A **model structure** on a category $\mathcal{M}$ is a triple $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{F})$ of subclasses of $\text{mor } \mathcal{M}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- $\mathcal{W}$ has the 2-out-of-3 property in $\mathcal{M}$, i.e. given a commutative diagram in $\mathcal{M}$ of the form below,



if any two of the arrows are in $\mathcal{W}$, then so is the third.

- $(\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{F})$ and $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{F})$ are weak factorisation systems on $\mathcal{M}$.

[2] See [Garner, 2009].

Given a model structure $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{F})$ on a category,

- a **weak equivalence** is a morphism in $\mathcal{W}$,
- a **cofibration** is a morphism in $\mathcal{C}$,
- a **fibration** is a morphism in $\mathcal{F}$,
- a **trivial cofibration** is a morphism in $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{W}$, and
- a **trivial fibration** is a morphism in $\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{F}$.

A **model category** is a locally small category that has limits and colimits for finite diagrams and is equipped with a model structure.

REMARK 3.2. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a category. Then, $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{F})$ is a model structure on $\mathcal{M}$ if and only if $(\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{W}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}})$ is a model structure on $\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}$.

The retract argument ([proposition 2.3](#)) shows that model categories in the classical sense satisfy the axioms given above, and for the converse, we require the following fact:

Lemma 3.3. *The class of weak equivalences in a model category is closed under retracts.*

Proof. See Lemma 14.2.5 in [May and Ponto, [2012](#)]. □

Moreover, a model structure is completely determined by the two weak factorisation systems:

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a category equipped with a model structure. The following are equivalent for a morphism f in $\mathcal{M}$:*

- (i) *f is a weak equivalence in $\mathcal{M}$.*
- (ii) *For any factorisation $f = p \circ j$ in $\mathcal{M}$ where p is a fibration and j is a trivial cofibration, p must be a trivial fibration.*
- (iii) *There exist a trivial cofibration j and a trivial fibration q such that $f = q \circ j$.*

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Use the 2-out-of-3 property of weak equivalences.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Consider the (trivial cofibration, fibration)-factorisation of f .

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Use the 2-out-of-3 property of weak equivalences again. ■

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a category with a pair of weak factorisation systems $(\mathcal{C}', \mathcal{F})$ and $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}')$. Assume $\mathcal{W}$ is a subclass of $\text{mor } \mathcal{C}$ satisfying the following condition:*

$$\mathcal{W} \subseteq \{q \circ j \mid j \in \mathcal{C}', q \in \mathcal{F}'\}$$

(i) $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{C}'$.

(ii) If $\mathcal{C}' \subseteq \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{W}$, then $\mathcal{F}' \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{W} = \mathcal{C}'$.

Dually:

(i') $\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}'$.

(ii') If $\mathcal{F}' \subseteq \mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{F}$, then $\mathcal{C}' \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}'$.

In particular, assuming $\mathcal{C}' \cup \mathcal{F}' \subseteq \mathcal{W}$, we have $\mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{W}$ if and only if $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{F}$.

Proof. (i). Suppose $i : X \rightarrow Z$ is in $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{W}$; then there must be $j : X \rightarrow Y$ in $\mathcal{C}'$ and $q : Y \rightarrow Z$ in $\mathcal{F}'$ such that $i = q \circ j$, and so we have the commutative diagram shown below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{j} & Y \\ i \downarrow & & \downarrow q \\ Z & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & Z \end{array}$$

Since $i \sqsubset q$, i must be a retract of j ; hence, by [proposition 2.3](#), i is in $\mathcal{C}'$, and therefore $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{C}'$.

(ii). If we know $\mathcal{C}' \subseteq \mathcal{C}$, then $\mathcal{F}' \subseteq \mathcal{F}$; and $\mathcal{C}' \subseteq \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{W}$, so from claim (i) it follows that $\mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{W}$. ■

The next definition is due to Smith [\[1998\]](#):

Definition 3.6. A **combinatorial model category** is a locally presentable category $\mathcal{M}$ equipped with a cofibrantly generated model structure, i.e. there exist subsets $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{I}'$ of $\text{mor } \mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathcal{I}^\square$ is the class of trivial fibrations in $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{I}'^\square$ is the class of fibrations.

REMARK 3.7. One can use a small object argument (such as [theorem 2.9](#)) to deduce that the (trivial cofibration, fibration)- and (cofibration, trivial fibration)-factorisations in a combinatorial model category can be made functorial.

Finally, let us recall the definition of ‘simplicial model category’:

Definition 3.8. A **simplicial model structure** on a simplicially enriched category $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$ is a model structure on the underlying ordinary category $\mathcal{M}$ that satisfies the following axiom:

SM7. If $i : Z \rightarrow W$ is a cofibration in $\mathcal{M}$ and $p : X \rightarrow Y$ is a fibration in $\mathcal{M}$, and the square in the diagram below is a pullback square in $\mathbf{sSet}$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \underline{\mathcal{M}}(W, X) & \xrightarrow{\quad \underline{\mathcal{M}}(W, p) \quad} & \underline{\mathcal{M}}(W, Y) \\
 \downarrow \underline{\mathcal{M}}(i, X) & \searrow i^* \square p_* & \downarrow \underline{\mathcal{M}}(i, Y) \\
 \underline{\mathcal{M}}(Z, X) \times_{\underline{\mathcal{M}}(Z, Y)} \underline{\mathcal{M}}(W, Y) & \longrightarrow & \underline{\mathcal{M}}(Z, Y) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \underline{\mathcal{M}}(Z, X) & \xrightarrow{\quad \underline{\mathcal{M}}(Z, p) \quad} & \underline{\mathcal{M}}(Z, Y)
 \end{array}$$

then the unique morphism $i^* \square p_*$ making the diagram commute is a Kan fibration; moreover, if either $i : Z \rightarrow W$ or $p : X \rightarrow Y$ is a weak equivalence, then $i^* \square p_*$ is a trivial Kan fibration.

A **simplicial model category** is a locally small simplicially enriched category $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$ that has limits and colimits for finite diagrams, tensor and cotensor products with finite simplicial sets, and is equipped with a simplicial model structure.

Proposition 3.9. *Let $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$ be a locally small simplicially enriched category with limits and colimits for finite diagrams and tensor and cotensor products with finite simplicial sets. Given a model structure on $\mathcal{M}$, the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *Axiom SM7 is satisfied.*
- (ii) *For all fibrations (resp. trivial fibrations) $p : X \rightarrow Y$ in $\mathcal{M}$, if $i : Z \rightarrow W$ is a boundary inclusion $\partial \Delta^n \hookrightarrow \Delta^n$ and the square in the diagram below is a pullback square in $\mathcal{M}$,*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 W \pitchfork X & \xrightarrow{\quad \text{id}_W \pitchfork p \quad} & W \pitchfork Y \\
 \downarrow i \pitchfork \text{id}_X & \searrow i \square p & \downarrow i \pitchfork \text{id}_Y \\
 (Z \pitchfork X) \times_{Z \pitchfork Y} (W \pitchfork Y) & \longrightarrow & Z \pitchfork Y \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 Z \pitchfork X & \xrightarrow{\quad \text{id}_Z \pitchfork p \quad} & Z \pitchfork Y
 \end{array}$$

where $Z \pitchfork X$ denotes the cotensor product of Z and X , then the unique morphism $i \square p$ making the diagram commute is a fibration (resp. trivial fibration); and for all fibrations $p : X \rightarrow Y$ in $\mathcal{M}$, if $i : Z \rightarrow W$ is a horn inclusion $\Lambda_k^n \hookrightarrow \Delta^n$, then the morphism $i \square p$ defined as above is a trivial fibration.

Proof. This is an exercise in manipulating partial adjunctions and lifting properties; but see also Proposition 9.3.7 in [Hirschhorn, 2003]. $\square$

4 Accessible constructions

Definition 4.1. Let κ be a regular cardinal. A **strongly κ -accessible functor** is a functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ with the following properties:

- Both $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are κ -accessible categories.
- F preserves colimits for small κ -filtered diagrams.
- F sends κ -compact objects in $\mathcal{C}$ to κ -compact objects in $\mathcal{D}$.

Example 4.2. Given any functor $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, if $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are essentially small categories, then the induced functor $\mathbf{Ind}^\kappa(F) : \mathbf{Ind}^\kappa(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ind}^\kappa(\mathcal{B})$ is strongly κ -accessible.

Proposition 4.3 (Products of accessible categories). *Let κ be a regular cardinal. If $(\mathcal{C}_i \mid i \in I)$ is a κ -small family of κ -accessible categories, then:*

- (i) *The product $\mathcal{C} = \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{C}_i$ is a κ -accessible category.*
- (ii) *Moreover, the projection functors $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_i$ are strongly κ -accessible functors.*

Proof. It is clear that $\mathcal{C}$ has colimits for small κ -filtered diagrams: indeed, they can be computed componentwise. Since κ -filtered colimits in **Set** preserve products for κ -small families, an object in $\mathcal{C}$ is κ -compact as soon as its components are κ -compact objects in their respective categories. Recalling [lemma 1.3](#), it follows that $\mathcal{C}$ is generated under small κ -filtered colimits by a small family of κ -compact objects, as required of a κ -accessible category. ■

Lemma 4.4. *Let κ be a regular cardinal, let $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ be accessible categories, and let $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a κ -accessible functor.*

- (i) *There is a regular cardinal λ such that F is a strongly λ -accessible functor.*
- (ii) *Moreover, if μ is a regular cardinal such that $\kappa \triangleleft \mu$ and $\lambda \leq \mu$, then F is also a strongly μ -accessible functor.*

Proof. (i). See Theorem 2.19 in [\[LPAC\]](#).

(ii). [Proposition 1.18](#) and [lemma 1.9](#) imply that F sends μ -compact objects in $\mathcal{C}$ to μ -compact objects in $\mathcal{D}$, as required. □

Proposition 4.5. *If $\mathcal{C}$ is an accessible category and $\mathcal{D}$ is any small category, then the functor category $[\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C}]$ is also an accessible category.*

Proof. See Theorem 2.39 in [\[LPAC\]](#). □

Proposition 4.6. *If $\mathcal{C}$ is a locally κ -presentable category and $\mathcal{D}$ is any small category, then the functor category $[\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C}]$ is also a locally κ -presentable category.*

Proof. See Corollary 1.54 in [LPAC]. $\square$

Proposition 4.7. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a locally small category and let $\mathcal{D}$ be a κ -small category.*

- (i) *If λ is a regular cardinal $\geq \kappa$, $\mathcal{C}$ has colimits for small λ -filtered diagrams, and $A : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is componentwise λ -compact, then A is a λ -compact object in $[\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C}]$.*
- (ii) *If $\mathcal{C}$ is a λ -accessible category and has products for κ -small families of objects, then every λ -compact object in $[\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C}]$ is componentwise λ -compact.*


Proof. See (the proof of) Proposition 2.23 in [Low, 2013]. $\square$

Lemma 4.8. *Let $\mathcal{J}$ be a κ -filtered category, let $A : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ be a κ -small diagram, let ${}^A/\mathcal{J}$ be the cocone category ($A \downarrow \Delta$), and let $P : {}^A/\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ be the projection functor.*

- (i) *The cocone category ${}^A/\mathcal{J}$ is also a κ -filtered category.*
- (ii) *$P : {}^A/\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ is a cofinal functor.*

Proof. (i). Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a κ -small category. There exist a κ -small category $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ equipped with a functor $L : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ and a natural bijection between diagrams $X : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow {}^A/\mathcal{J}$ and diagrams $\tilde{X} : \tilde{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ such that $\tilde{X}L = A$, and moreover this construction is natural in $\mathcal{D}$. Thus, every κ -small diagram in ${}^A/\mathcal{J}$ admits a cocone, as required.

(ii). We must show that the comma category $(b \downarrow P)$ is connected for all objects b in $\mathcal{J}$. Since $\mathcal{J}$ is filtered, there must exist an object c , a cocone $A \Rightarrow \Delta c$, and a morphism $b \rightarrow c$ in $\mathcal{J}$; thus, $(b \downarrow P)$ is inhabited. Moreover, any diagram in $[\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}]$ of the form shown below on the left can be completed to one of the form shown below on the right,



so we may conclude that $(b \downarrow P)$ is indeed connected. $\blacksquare$

Lemma 4.9. *Let κ be a regular cardinal and let $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ and $G : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ be functors that send κ -compact objects to κ -compact objects. Given an object (C, D, e) in the comma category $(F \downarrow G)$, if C is a κ -compact object in $\mathcal{C}$ and D is a κ -compact object in $\mathcal{D}$, then (C, D, e) is a κ -compact object in $(F \downarrow G)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B} = (F \downarrow G)$ and let $\phi : FP \Rightarrow GQ$ be the canonical natural transformation. Then, given any two objects B and B' in $\mathcal{B}$, we have the following pullback diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{B}(B, B') & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}(QB, QB') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{C}(PB, PB') & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}(FPB, GQB') \end{array}$$

where the map $\mathcal{C}(PB, PB') \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(FPB, GQB')$ is induced by the functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ and the morphism $\phi_{B'} : FPB' \rightarrow GQB'$, and the map $\mathcal{D}(QB, QB') \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(FPB, GQB')$ is induced by the functor $G : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ and the morphism $\phi_B : FPB \rightarrow GQB$. Thus, if PB and QB are κ -compact objects, then so are FPB and GQB ; and since filtered colimits in **Set** preserve pullbacks, we deduce that B is a κ -compact object in $\mathcal{B}$. $\blacksquare$

Theorem 4.10 (Accessibility of comma categories). *Let κ be a regular cardinal and let $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ and $G : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ be κ -accessible functors.*

- (i) *The comma category $(F \downarrow G)$ has colimits for small κ -filtered diagrams, created by the projection functor $(F \downarrow G) \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D}$.*
- (ii) *If F and G are strongly κ -accessible functors, then $(F \downarrow G)$ is a κ -accessible category, and the projection functors $P : (F \downarrow G) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and $Q : (F \downarrow G) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ are strongly κ -accessible.*

Proof. See Theorem 2.43 in [LPAC]. $\square$

Corollary 4.11. *If $\mathcal{C}$ is a κ -accessible category, then so is the functor category $[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}]$. Moreover the κ -compact objects in $[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}]$ are precisely the componentwise κ -compact objects.*

Proof. The functor category $[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}]$ is isomorphic to the comma category $(\mathcal{C} \downarrow \mathcal{C})$, and $\text{id} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is certainly a strongly κ -accessible functor. $\blacksquare$

Lemma 4.12. *Let κ and λ be regular cardinals, with $\kappa \leq \lambda$, let $\mathcal{E}$ be a locally small category with colimits for small κ -filtered diagrams, let $X : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ and $Y : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ be small λ -filtered diagrams that are componentwise λ -compact, let $C = \varinjlim_{\mathcal{I}} X$ and $D = \varinjlim_{\mathcal{J}} Y$, and let $c_i : Xi \rightarrow C$ and $d_j : Yj \rightarrow D$ be the components of the respective colimiting cocones.*

- (i) Given any object i_0 in $\mathcal{I}$ and any morphism $e : C \rightarrow D$, there exist an object j_0 in $\mathcal{J}$ and a morphism $f_0 : Xi_0 \rightarrow Yj_0$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Xi_0 & \xrightarrow{c_{i_0}} & C \\ f_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow e \\ Yj_0 & \xrightarrow{d_{j_0}} & D \end{array}$$

- (ii) Given any commutative diagram of the above form, if $e : C \rightarrow D$ is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{E}$, then there exist chains $I : \kappa \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$ and $J : \kappa \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ and a factorisation of the form below,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Xi_0 & \longrightarrow & C' & \longrightarrow & C \\ f_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow e' & & \downarrow e \\ Yj_0 & \longrightarrow & D' & \longrightarrow & D \end{array}$$

where $I(0) = i_0$, $J(0) = j_0$, $C' = \varinjlim_{\alpha < \kappa} XI(\alpha)$, $D' = \varinjlim_{\alpha < \kappa} YJ(\alpha)$, $e' : C' \rightarrow D'$ is an isomorphism, and the morphisms $C' \rightarrow C$ and $D' \rightarrow D$ are the ones induced by the evident cocones.

Proof. (i). Since Xi_0 is λ -compact and $Y : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is a small λ -filtered diagram, such a factorisation of $e \circ c_{i_0}$ must exist. (Consider $\varinjlim_{\mathcal{J}} \mathcal{E}(Xi_0, Y)$.)

- (ii). We will construct I , J , and e' by transfinite induction on κ .

- Given j_α and f_α , choose a morphism $i_{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha+1} : i_\alpha \rightarrow i_{\alpha+1}$ in $\mathcal{I}$ and a morphism $g_\alpha : Yj_\alpha \rightarrow Xi_{\alpha+1}$ in $\mathcal{E}$ such that the diagram below commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Xi_\alpha & \xrightarrow{Xi_{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha+1}} & Xi_{\alpha+1} & \xrightarrow{c_{i_{\alpha+1}}} & C \\ f_\alpha \downarrow & \nearrow g_\alpha & & & \uparrow e^{-1} \\ Yj_\alpha & \xrightarrow{d_{j_\alpha}} & D & & \end{array}$$

Such $i_{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha+1}$ and g_α exist because $f_\alpha : Xi_\alpha \rightarrow Yj_\alpha$ defines a λ -compact object in the slice category $^{Xi_\alpha}/\mathcal{E}$ (by lemma 4.9) and there is an evident small λ -filtered diagram $^{i_\alpha}/X : ^{i_\alpha}/\mathcal{I} \rightarrow ^{Xi_\alpha}/\mathcal{E}$ with colimit defined by $c_{i_\alpha} : Xi_\alpha \rightarrow C$ (by lemma 4.8).

- Given $i_{\alpha+1}$ and g_α , choose a morphism $j_{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha+1} : j_\alpha \rightarrow j_{\alpha+1}$ in $\mathcal{J}$ and a morphism $f_{\alpha+1} : Xi_{\alpha+1} \rightarrow Yj_{\alpha+1}$ in $\mathcal{E}$ such that the diagram below commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Yj_\alpha & \xrightarrow{Yj_{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha+1}} & Yj_{\alpha+1} & \xrightarrow{d_{j_{\alpha+1}}} & D \\ g_\alpha \downarrow & \nearrow f_{\alpha+1} & & & \uparrow e \\ Xi_{\alpha+1} & \xrightarrow{c_{i_{\alpha+1}}} & C & & \end{array}$$

- Given a limit ordinal $\beta < \kappa$ and i_α for all ordinals $\alpha < \beta$, choose an object i_β in $\mathcal{I}$ and a cocone from the chain defined by $(i_\alpha \mid \alpha < \beta)$ to i_β .
- Given i_β for a limit ordinal $\beta < \kappa$ and j_α for all ordinals $\alpha < \beta$, choose an object j_β in $\mathcal{J}$, a cocone from the chain defined by $(j_\alpha \mid \alpha < \beta)$, and a morphism $f_\beta : Xi_\beta \rightarrow Yj_\beta$ such that the following diagram commutes for all ordinals $\alpha < \beta$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 Yj_\alpha & \xrightarrow{Yj_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta}} & Yj_\beta & \xrightarrow{d_{j_\beta}} & D \\
 g_\alpha \downarrow & & \uparrow f_\beta & & \uparrow e \\
 Xi_{\alpha+1} & \xrightarrow{Xi_{\alpha+1 \rightarrow \beta}} & Xi_\beta & \xrightarrow{c_{i_\beta}} & C
 \end{array}$$

Such data exist because the chains X' and Y' defined by $(Xi_\alpha \mid \alpha < \beta)$ and $(Yj_\alpha \mid \alpha < \beta)$ are λ -compact objects in the category $[\beta, \mathcal{E}]$ (by [proposition 4.7](#)) and there is an evident small λ -filtered diagram in $Y'/[\beta, \mathcal{E}]$ with colimit ΔD (by [lemma 4.8](#)).

Now take $I : \kappa \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$ and $J : \kappa \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ to be the chains defined by $I(\alpha) = i_\alpha$ and $J(\alpha) = j_\alpha$. Let $C' = \varinjlim_{\alpha < \kappa} Xi_\alpha$ and $D' = \varinjlim_{\alpha < \kappa} Yj_\alpha$. The above construction yields commutative diagrams of the form below for all ordinals $\alpha < \beta < \kappa$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 Xi_\alpha & \xrightarrow{Xi_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta}} & Xi_\beta \\
 f_\alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow f_\beta \\
 Yj_\alpha & \xrightarrow{Yj_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta}} & Yj_\beta
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 Yj_\alpha & \xrightarrow{Yj_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta}} & Yj_\beta \\
 g_\alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow g_\beta \\
 Xi_{\alpha+1} & \xrightarrow{Xi_{\alpha+1 \rightarrow \beta+1}} & Xi_{\beta+1}
 \end{array}$$

so there are induced morphisms $f : C' \rightarrow D'$ and $g : D' \rightarrow C'$; moreover, since $g_\alpha \circ f_\alpha = Xi_{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha+1}$ and $f_{\alpha+1} \circ g_\alpha = Yj_{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha+1}$, we have $g \circ f = \text{id}_{C'}$ and $f \circ g = \text{id}_{D'}$. Thus, we have the required isomorphism $e : C' \rightarrow D'$. $\blacksquare$

The next theorem is a variation on Proposition 3.1 in [Chorny and Rosický, 2012]. Recall that the **iso-comma category** $(F \wr G)$ for functors $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ and $G : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is the full subcategory of the comma category $(F \downarrow G)$ spanned by those objects (C, D, e) where $e : FC \rightarrow GD$ is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{E}$.

Theorem 4.13 (Accessibility of iso-comma categories). *Let κ be a regular cardinal, let $\mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{D}$, and $\mathcal{E}$ be categories with colimits for small κ -filtered diagrams, and let $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ and $G : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ be functors that preserve colimits for small κ -filtered diagrams.*

- (i) *The iso-comma category $(F \wr G)$ has colimits for small κ -filtered diagrams, created by the projection functor $(F \wr G) \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D}$.*

- (ii) Assuming F and G are strongly λ -accessible functors, given an object (C, D, e) in $(F \wr G)$, if C is a λ -compact object in $\mathcal{C}$ and D is a λ -compact object in $\mathcal{D}$, then (C, D, e) is a λ -compact object in $(F \wr G)$.
- (iii) If F and G are strongly λ -accessible functors and $\kappa < \lambda$, then $(F \wr G)$ is a λ -accessible category, and the projection functors $P : (F \wr G) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and $Q : (F \wr G) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ are strongly λ -accessible.

Proof. (i). This is a straightforward consequence of the hypothesis that both $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ and $G : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ preserve colimits for small κ -filtered diagrams.

(ii). Since the iso-comma category $(F \wr G)$ is a full subcategory of the comma category $(F \downarrow G)$, the claim is an immediate corollary of [lemma 4.9](#).

(iii). Let $\mathcal{B} = (F \wr G)$. First, we must show that there is a small set of λ -compact objects in $\mathcal{B}$ that generate $\mathcal{B}$ under colimits for small λ -filtered colimits. Let (C, D, e) be an object in $\mathcal{B}$. Since $\kappa \triangleleft \lambda$, we may choose small skeletons $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{J}$ of the comma categories $(\mathbf{K}_\lambda(\mathcal{C}) \downarrow C)$ and $(\mathbf{K}_\lambda(\mathcal{D}) \downarrow D)$ and obtain small λ -filtered diagrams $X : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and $Y : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ that are componentwise λ -compact and have $C \cong \varinjlim_{\mathcal{I}} X$ and $D \cong \varinjlim_{\mathcal{J}} Y$ (by [theorem 1.16](#)). Let $\mathcal{K}$ be full subcategory of the iso-comma category $(FX \wr GY)$ spanned by those objects (i, j, f) such that the following diagram commutes,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} FXi & \xrightarrow{Fc_i} & FC \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow e \\ GYj & \xrightarrow{Gd_j} & GD \end{array}$$

where $c_i : Xi \rightarrow C$ and $d_j : Yj \rightarrow D$ are the components of the respective colimiting cocones. Let $P' : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$ and $Q' : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ be the projection functors, and let $Z : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be the evident diagram with $PZ = FXP'$ and $QZ = GYQ'$. It is clear that $\mathcal{K}$ is a small category, and we claim $Z : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is λ -filtered diagram with (C, D, e) as its colimit.

First, we verify that (C, D, e) is a colimit for the diagram $Z : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$. Let i be any object in $\mathcal{I}$ and consider the comma category $(i \downarrow P')$. [Lemma 4.12](#) implies it is inhabited. Suppose we have two objects in $(i \downarrow P')$, i.e. two objects (i_0, j_0, f_0) and (i_1, j_1, f_1) in $\mathcal{K}$ and two morphisms $h_0 : i \rightarrow i_0$ and $h_1 : i \rightarrow i_1$ in $\mathcal{I}$. Since $\mathcal{I}$ is a filtered category, there exist an object i' in $\mathcal{I}$ and morphisms $h'_0 : i_0 \rightarrow i'$ and $h'_1 : i_1 \rightarrow i'$ such that $h'_0 \circ h_0 = h'_1 \circ h_1$. Similarly, $\mathcal{J}$ is a filtered category, so there exist an object j_2 in $\mathcal{J}$ and morphisms $j_0 \rightarrow j_2$ and $j_1 \rightarrow j_2$. By considering a suitable diagram of shape $j_2/\mathcal{J}$ in the category $(GYj_0, GYj_1)/\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E}$ (using the fact that $f_0 : FXi_0 \rightarrow GYj_0$ and $f_1 : FXi_1 \rightarrow GYj_1$ are isomorphisms in $\mathcal{E}$) and applying [lemmas 4.8](#) and [4.12](#), we see that

there is a commutative diagram in $\mathcal{E}$ of the form shown below,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & FXi_0 & & & \\
 & \downarrow f_0 & \searrow FXh'_0 & \xrightarrow{Fc_{i_0}} & FC \\
 FXi_1 & \xrightarrow{Fh'_1} & FXi' & \xrightarrow{Fc_{i'}} & FC \\
 & \downarrow Fc_{i_1} & \downarrow f' & & \downarrow e \\
 & GYj_0 & & & GD \\
 & \searrow GYk'_0 & \downarrow Gd_{j_0} & & \\
 & & GYj' & \xrightarrow{Gd_{j'}} & GD \\
 & \downarrow f_1 & \swarrow GYk'_1 & \nearrow Gd_{j_1} & \\
 & GYj_1 & & &
 \end{array}$$

and recalling lemma 1.9, we may assume that $f' : FXi' \rightarrow GYj'$ is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{E}$. Thus, the comma category $(i \downarrow P')$ is connected, and therefore $P' : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$ is a cofinal functor. The symmetric argument shows that $Q' : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ is also a cofinal functor, and since $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ and $G : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ preserve colimits for small λ -filtered diagrams, we may deduce that the canonical cocone from Z to (C, D, e) in $\mathcal{B}$ is a colimiting cocone.

It remains to be shown that $\mathcal{K}$ is a small λ -filtered category. Indeed, suppose $K : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ is a λ -small diagram. Since $\mathcal{I}$ is a λ -filtered category, there is an object i_0 in $\mathcal{I}$ with a cocone $P'K \Rightarrow \Delta i_0$, and by considering a suitable λ -filtered diagram in the category ${}^{GQ'K}/[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E}]$, we obtain an object j_0 in $\mathcal{J}$ and a morphism $f_0 : FXi_0 \rightarrow GYj_0$ such that the diagram below commutes,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 FXi_0 & \xrightarrow{Fc_{i_0}} & FC \\
 f_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow e \\
 GYj_0 & \xrightarrow{Gd_{j_0}} & GD
 \end{array}$$

as well as a cocone from K to (Xi_0, Yj_0, f_0) in the comma category $(F \downarrow G)$ that is compatible with the colimiting cocone $GY \Rightarrow \Delta GD$. Combining lemmas 1.9 and 4.12, we then obtain a cocone under P in $\mathcal{K}$, as required. This shows that every object in $\mathcal{B}$ is a colimit for a small λ -filtered diagram of componentwise λ -compact objects in $\mathcal{B}$, and since $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are λ -accessible categories, the full subcategory of $\mathcal{B}$ spanned by such componentwise λ -compact objects is essentially small.

Finally, observe that every λ -compact object in $\mathcal{B}$ is a retract of a componentwise λ -compact object (because the set of such objects generate $\mathcal{B}$ under colimits for small λ -filtered diagrams), and recalling that retracts of λ -compact objects are λ -compact, we deduce that every λ -compact object in $\mathcal{B}$ is itself

componentwise λ -compact. Thus the projection functors $P : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and $Q : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ are strongly λ -accessible. ■

Definition 4.14. Given a regular cardinal κ , a κ -**accessible subcategory** of a κ -accessible category $\mathcal{C}$ is a subcategory $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ such that $\mathcal{B}$ is a κ -accessible category and the inclusion $\mathcal{B} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a κ -accessible functor.

Proposition 4.15. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a κ -accessible category and let $\mathcal{B}$ be a replete and full κ -accessible subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$.*

- (i) *If A is a κ -compact object in $\mathcal{C}$ and A is in $\mathcal{B}$, then A is also a κ -compact object in $\mathcal{C}$.*
- (ii) *If the inclusion $\mathcal{B} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is strongly κ -accessible, then $\mathbf{K}_\kappa(\mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{B} \cap \mathbf{K}_\kappa(\mathcal{C})$.*

Proof. (i). This is clear, since hom-sets and colimits for small κ -filtered diagrams in $\mathcal{B}$ are computed as in $\mathcal{C}$.

(ii). Given claim (i), it suffices to show that every κ -compact object in $\mathcal{B}$ is also κ -compact in $\mathcal{C}$, but this is precisely the hypothesis that the inclusion $\mathcal{B} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is strongly κ -accessible. ■

Proposition 4.16. *Let κ be a regular cardinal, let $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ be categories with colimits for small κ -filtered diagrams, let $\mathcal{D}$ be a replete and full subcategory of $\mathcal{E}$ that is closed under colimits for small κ -filtered diagrams, let $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ be a functor that preserves colimits for small κ -filtered diagrams, and let $\mathcal{B}$ be the preimage of $\mathcal{D}$ under F , so that we have the following strict pullback diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{B} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{E} \end{array}$$

- (i) *$\mathcal{B}$ is a replete and full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}$ and is closed under colimits for small κ -filtered diagrams in $\mathcal{D}$.*
- (ii) *If $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ and the inclusion $\mathcal{D} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}$ are strongly λ -accessible functors and $\kappa < \lambda$, then $\mathcal{B}$ is a λ -accessible subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$ and the inclusion $\mathcal{B} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is also strongly λ -accessible.*

Proof. (i). This is a straightforward exercise.

(ii). Consider the iso-comma category $(F \wr \mathcal{D})$ and the induced comparison functor $K : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow (F \wr \mathcal{D})$. It is clear that K is fully faithful; but since $\mathcal{D}$ is a replete subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$, for every object (C, D, e) in $(F \wr \mathcal{D})$, there is a

canonical isomorphism $KC \rightarrow (C, D, e)$, namely the one corresponding to the following commutative diagram in $\mathcal{E}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} FC & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & FC \\ \text{id} \downarrow & & \downarrow e \\ FC & \xrightarrow{e} & D \end{array}$$

Thus, $K : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow (F \wr \mathcal{D})$ is (half of) an equivalence of categories. [Theorem 4.13](#) says the projection $P : (F \wr \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a strongly λ -accessible functor, so we may deduce that the same is true for the inclusion $\mathcal{B} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}$. $\blacksquare$

Proposition 4.17. *Let κ be a regular cardinal, let $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a strongly κ -accessible functor, and let $\mathcal{D}'$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}$ spanned by the image of F .*

- (i) *Every object in $\mathcal{D}'$ is a colimit for some small κ -filtered diagram consisting of objects in $\mathcal{D}'$ that are κ -compact as objects in $\mathcal{D}$.*
- (ii) *Every κ -compact object in $\mathcal{D}'$ is also κ -compact as an object in $\mathcal{D}$.*
- (iii) *If $\mathcal{D}'$ is closed under colimits for small κ -filtered diagrams in $\mathcal{D}$, then $\mathcal{D}'$ is a κ -accessible subcategory of $\mathcal{D}$.*

Proof. (i). Let D be any object in $\mathcal{D}'$. By definition, there is an object C in $\mathcal{C}$ such that $D = FC$, and since $\mathcal{C}$ is a κ -accessible category, there is a small κ -filtered diagram $X : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that each Xj is a κ -compact object in $\mathcal{C}$ and $C \cong \varinjlim_{\mathcal{J}} X$. Since $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a strongly κ -accessible functor, each FXj is a κ -compact object in $\mathcal{D}$ and we have $D \cong \varinjlim_{\mathcal{J}} FX$.

(ii). Moreover, if D is a κ -compact object in $\mathcal{D}'$, then D must be a retract of FXj for some object j in $\mathcal{J}$, and so D is also κ -compact as an object in $\mathcal{D}$.

(iii). Any object in $\mathcal{D}'$ that is κ -compact as an object in $\mathcal{D}$ must be κ -compact as an object in $\mathcal{D}'$, because $\mathcal{D}'$ is a full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}$ that is closed under colimits for small κ -filtered diagrams. $\blacksquare$

Theorem 4.18 (The category of algebras for an accessible monad). *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a locally κ -presentable category, let $\mathbb{T} = (T, \eta, \mu)$ be a monad on $\mathcal{C}$, and let $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{T}}$ be the category of algebras for $\mathbb{T}$. If $T : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a κ -accessible functor, then:*

- (i) *The forgetful functor $U : \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ creates colimits for small κ -filtered diagrams and creates limits for all small diagrams.*
- (ii) *$\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{T}}$ is a locally κ -presentable category.*

Proof. (i). This is well-known: cf. Propositions 4.3.1 and 4.3.2 in [Borceux, 1994].

(ii). See Theorem 2.78 and the following remark in [LPAC], or Theorem 5.5.9 in [Borceux, 1994]. $\square$

Lemma 4.19. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a locally κ -presentable category and let $\mathbb{T} = (T, \eta, \mu)$ be a monad on $\mathcal{C}$. If the forgetful functor $U : \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is strongly κ -accessible, then so is the functor $T : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$.*

Proof. Proposition 1.20 says the free $\mathbb{T}$ -algebra functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{T}}$ is strongly κ -accessible if the forgetful functor $U : \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is κ -accessible; but $T = UF$, so T is strongly κ -accessible when U is. $\blacksquare$

Theorem 4.20 (The category of algebras for a strongly accessible monad). *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a locally λ -presentable category, let $\mathbb{T} = (T, \eta, \mu)$ be a monad on $\mathcal{C}$ where $T : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ preserves colimits for small κ -filtered diagrams, and let $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{T}}$ be the category of algebras for $\mathbb{T}$. If $T : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a strongly λ -accessible functor and $\kappa < \lambda$, then:*

(i) *Given a coequaliser diagram in $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{T}}$ of the form below,*

$$(A, \alpha) \rightrightarrows (B, \beta) \longrightarrow (C, \gamma)$$

if A and B are λ -compact objects in $\mathcal{C}$, then so is C .

(ii) *Given a λ -small family $((A_i, \alpha_i) \mid i \in I)$ of $\mathbb{T}$ -algebras, if each A_i is a λ -compact object in $\mathcal{C}$, then so is the underlying object of the $\mathbb{T}$ -algebra coproduct $\sum_{i \in I} (A_i, \alpha_i)$.*

(iii) *The forgetful functor $U : \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is strongly λ -accessible.*

Proof. (i). By referring to the explicit construction of coequalisers in $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{T}}$ given in the proof of Proposition 4.3.6 in [Borceux, 1994] and applying lemma 1.9, we see that C is indeed a λ -compact object in $\mathcal{C}$ when A and B are, provided $T : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ preserves colimits for small κ -filtered diagrams and is strongly λ -accessible.

(ii). Let $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{T}}$ be a left adjoint for $U : \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. In the proof of Proposition 4.3.4 in [Borceux, 1994], we find that the $\mathbb{T}$ -algebra coproduct $\sum_{i \in I} (A_i, \alpha_i)$ may be computed by a coequaliser diagram of the following form:

$$F\left(\sum_{i \in I} TA_i\right) \rightrightarrows F\left(\sum_{i \in I} A_i\right) \longrightarrow \sum_{i \in I} (A_i, \alpha_i)$$

Since $T : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is strongly λ -accessible, the underlying objects of the $\mathbb{T}$ -algebras $F\left(\sum_{i \in I} TA_i\right)$ and $F\left(\sum_{i \in I} A_i\right)$ are λ -compact objects in $\mathcal{C}$. Thus, by

claim (i), the underlying object of $\sum_{i \in I} (A_i, \alpha_i)$ must also be a λ -compact object in $\mathcal{C}$.

(iii). It is shown in the proof of Theorem 5.5.9 in [Borceux, 1994] that the full subcategory $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{T}}$ spanned by the image of $\mathbf{K}_{\lambda}(\mathcal{C})$ under $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{T}}$ is a dense subcategory. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the smallest replete full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{T}}$ that is closed under colimits for λ -small diagrams in $\mathcal{C}$ and that contains $\mathcal{F}$. Observe that claims (i) and (ii) imply that the underlying object of every $\mathbb{T}$ -algebra that is in $\mathcal{G}$ must be a λ -compact object in $\mathcal{C}$. To show that the forgetful functor $U : \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is strongly λ -accessible, it is enough to verify that every λ -compact object is in $\mathcal{G}$.

It is not hard to see that the comma category $(\mathcal{G} \downarrow (A, \alpha))$ is an essentially small λ -filtered category for any $\mathbb{T}$ -algebra (A, α) , and moreover, it can be shown that the tautological cocone for the canonical diagram $(\mathcal{G} \downarrow (A, \alpha)) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{T}}$ is a colimiting cocone. Thus, if (A, α) is a λ -compact object in $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{T}}$, it must be a retract of an object in $\mathcal{G}$. But $\mathcal{G}$ is closed under retracts, so (A, α) is indeed in $\mathcal{G}$. $\blacksquare$

The following result on the existence of free algebras for a pointed endofunctor is a special case of a general construction due to [Kelly, 1980].

Theorem 4.21 (Free algebras for a pointed endofunctor). *Let κ be a regular cardinal, let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category with pushouts and colimits for chains of length $\leq \kappa$, let (J, ι) be a pointed endofunctor on $\mathcal{C}$ such that $J : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ preserves colimits for κ -chains, and let $\mathcal{C}^{(J, \iota)}$ be the category of algebras for (J, ι) .*

- (i) *The forgetful functor $U : \mathcal{C}^{(J, \iota)} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ has a left adjoint, say $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{(J, \iota)}$.*
- (ii) *Let λ be a regular cardinal. If $J : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ sends λ -compact objects to λ -compact objects and $\kappa < \lambda$, then the functor $UF : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ has the same property.*

Proof. Let X be an object in $\mathcal{C}$. We now define a chain $X_{\bullet} : \kappa + 2 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ by transfinite induction:

- Let $X_0 = X$, let $X_1 = JX_0$, let $q_0 = \text{id}_{JX_0}$, and let $X_{0 \rightarrow 1} : X_0 \rightarrow X_1$ be ι_{X_0} .
- Given $q_{\alpha} : JX_{\alpha} \rightarrow X_{\alpha+1}$ for an ordinal $\alpha < \kappa$, define $X_{\alpha+2}$ by the following coequaliser diagram in $\mathcal{C}$:

$$JX_{\alpha} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{Jq_{\alpha} \circ J\iota_{X_{\alpha}}} \\ \xrightarrow{Jq_{\alpha} \circ \iota_{JX_{\alpha}}} \end{array} JX_{\alpha+1} \xrightarrow{q_{\alpha+1}} X_{\alpha+2}$$

Then, for all $\alpha' < \alpha + 2$, set $X_{\alpha' \rightarrow \alpha+2} = q_{\alpha+1} \circ \iota_{X_{\alpha+1}} \circ X_{\alpha' \rightarrow \alpha+1}$; note that the diagram below commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} JX_\alpha & \xrightarrow{JX_{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha+1}} & JX_{\alpha+1} \\ q_\alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow q_{\alpha+1} \\ X_{\alpha+1} & \xrightarrow{X_{\alpha+1 \rightarrow \alpha+2}} & X_{\alpha+2} \end{array}$$

- Given a limit ordinal $\beta \leq \kappa$ and q_α for all ordinals $\alpha < \beta$, define $X_\beta = \varinjlim_{\alpha < \beta} X_\alpha$ and take $X_{\beta \rightarrow \alpha} : X_\beta \rightarrow X_\alpha$ to be the component of the colimiting cocone; then define $X_{\beta+1}$ to be the colimit of the following diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} JX_0 & \longrightarrow & JX_1 & \longrightarrow & JX_2 & \longrightarrow & \cdots \longrightarrow JX_\beta \\ q_0 \downarrow & & q_1 \downarrow & & q_2 \downarrow & & \\ X_1 & \longrightarrow & X_2 & \longrightarrow & X_3 & \longrightarrow & \cdots \longrightarrow X_\beta \end{array}$$

and let $q_\beta : JX_\beta \rightarrow X_{\beta+1}$ and $X_{\beta \rightarrow \beta+1} : X_\beta \rightarrow X_{\beta+1}$ be the respective components of the colimiting cocone; note that the following diagram commutes,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} X_0 & \longrightarrow & X_1 & \longrightarrow & X_2 & \longrightarrow & \cdots \longrightarrow X_\beta \\ \iota_{X_0} \downarrow & & \iota_{X_1} \downarrow & & \iota_{X_2} \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota_{X_\beta} \\ JX_0 & \longrightarrow & JX_1 & \longrightarrow & JX_2 & \longrightarrow & \cdots \longrightarrow JX_\beta \\ q_0 \downarrow & & q_1 \downarrow & & q_2 \downarrow & & \downarrow q_\beta \\ X_1 & \longrightarrow & X_2 & \longrightarrow & X_3 & \longrightarrow & \cdots \longrightarrow X_\beta \longrightarrow X_{\beta+1} \end{array}$$

so we have $X_{\beta \rightarrow \beta+1} = q_\beta \circ \iota_{X_\beta}$.

Our hypothesis is that J preserves colimits for κ -chains, so the canonical comparison $\varinjlim_{\alpha < \kappa} JX_\alpha \rightarrow JX_\kappa$ is an isomorphism, as is $X_{\kappa \rightarrow \kappa+1}$. However, for all ordinals $\alpha < \beta < \kappa$, we have

$$X_{\alpha+1 \rightarrow \beta+1} \circ q_\alpha = q_\beta \circ JX_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta}$$

so there is a unique morphism $\gamma_X : JX_\kappa \rightarrow X_\kappa$ such that

$$\gamma_X \circ JX_{\alpha \rightarrow \kappa} = X_{\alpha+1 \rightarrow \kappa} \circ q_\alpha$$

for all ordinals $\alpha < \kappa$. Moreover, we have

$$\gamma_X \circ \iota_{X_\kappa} \circ X_{\alpha \rightarrow \kappa} = \gamma_X \circ JX_{\alpha \rightarrow \kappa} \circ \iota_{X_\alpha} = X_{\alpha+1 \rightarrow \kappa} \circ q_\alpha \circ \iota_{X_\alpha} = X_{\alpha \rightarrow \kappa}$$

and $\{X_{\alpha \rightarrow \kappa} \mid \alpha < \kappa\}$ is a jointly epimorphic family, so $\gamma_X \circ \iota_{X_\kappa} = \text{id}_{X_\kappa}$, i.e. (X_κ, γ_X) is a (J, ι) -algebra.

It remains to be shown that (X_κ, γ_X) is a free (J, ι) -algebra generated by X . Let $\eta_X = X_{0 \rightarrow \kappa}$, let (D, δ) be any (J, ι) -algebra, and let $f : X \rightarrow D$ be any morphism in $\mathcal{C}$. We construct a cocone $f_\bullet : X_\bullet \Rightarrow \Delta D$ by transfinite induction:

- Let $f_0 = f$, let $f_1 = \delta \circ Jf_0$, and note that $\delta \circ Jf_0 = f_1 \circ q_0$.
- Given $f_\alpha : X_\alpha \rightarrow D$ and $f_{\alpha+1} : X_{\alpha+1} \rightarrow D$ such that $f_{\alpha+1} \circ q_\alpha = \delta \circ Jf_\alpha$, let $f_{\alpha+2} : X_{\alpha+2} \rightarrow D$ be the unique morphism satisfying the following equation:

$$f_{\alpha+2} \circ q_{\alpha+1} = \delta \circ Jf_{\alpha+1}$$

Note that such a morphism exists because the diagrams below commute,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} JX_\alpha & \xrightarrow{\iota_{JX_\alpha}} & JJX_\alpha \\ q_\alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow Jq_\alpha \\ X_{\alpha+1} & \xrightarrow{\iota_{JX_{\alpha+1}}} & JJX_{\alpha+1} \\ f_{\alpha+1} \downarrow & & \downarrow Jf_{\alpha+1} \\ D & \xrightarrow{\iota_A} & JD \\ & \searrow \text{id} & \downarrow \delta \\ & & D \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} JX_\alpha & \xrightarrow{Jf_\alpha} & JD & \xlongequal{\quad} & JD \\ J\iota_{X_\alpha} \downarrow & & \downarrow J\iota_D & & \downarrow \delta \\ JJX_\alpha & \xrightarrow{JJf_\alpha} & JJD & & \\ Jq_\alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow J\delta & & \\ JX_{\alpha+1} & \xrightarrow{Jf_{\alpha+1}} & JD & \xrightarrow{\delta} & D \end{array}$$

i.e. because the equation below holds,

$$(\delta \circ Jf_{\alpha+1}) \circ (Jq_\alpha \circ \iota_{JX_\alpha}) = (\delta \circ Jf_{\alpha+1}) \circ (Jq_\alpha \circ J\iota_{X_\alpha})$$

and $q_{\alpha+1} : JX_{\alpha+1} \rightarrow X_{\alpha+2}$ is the coequaliser of $Jq_\alpha \circ \iota_{JX_\alpha}$ and $Jq_\alpha \circ J\iota_{X_\alpha}$.

- Given a limit ordinal $\beta \leq \kappa$, we define $f_\beta : X_\beta \rightarrow D$ be the unique morphism such that $f_\beta \circ X_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta} = f_\alpha$ for all ordinals $\alpha < \beta$; we may do this because the following equation holds:

$$f_{\alpha+1} \circ X_{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha+1} = f_{\alpha+1} \circ q_\alpha \circ \iota_{X_{\alpha+1}} = \delta \circ Jf_\alpha \circ \iota_{X_{\alpha+1}} = \delta \circ \iota_D \circ f_\alpha = f_\alpha$$

Furthermore,

$$(\delta \circ Jf_\beta) \circ JX_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta} = \delta \circ Jf_\alpha = f_{\alpha+1} \circ q_\alpha$$

so there exists a unique morphism $f_{\beta+1} : X_{\beta+1} \rightarrow D$ such that $f_{\beta+1} \circ q_\beta = \delta \circ Jf_\beta$ and $f_{\beta+1} \circ X_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta+1} = f_\alpha$ for all ordinals $\alpha < \beta$.

Now observe that, for all ordinals $\alpha < \kappa$,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \circ Jf_\kappa \circ JX_{\alpha \rightarrow \kappa} &= \delta \circ Jf_\alpha \\ &= f_{\alpha+1} \circ q_\alpha \\ &= f_\kappa \circ X_{\alpha+1 \rightarrow \kappa} \circ q_\alpha \\ &= f_\kappa \circ \gamma_X \circ JX_{\alpha \rightarrow \kappa} \end{aligned}$$

and $\{JX_{\alpha \rightarrow \kappa} \mid \alpha < \kappa\}$ is a jointly epimorphic family, so $\delta \circ Jf_\kappa = f_\kappa \circ \gamma_X$, i.e. f_κ is a (J, ι) -algebra homomorphism $(X_\kappa, \gamma_X) \rightarrow (D, \delta)$. Finally, notice that, for any homomorphism $\bar{f} : (X_\kappa, \gamma_X) \rightarrow (D, \delta)$ such that $\bar{f} \circ \eta_X = f_0$, then,

$$\delta \circ J(\bar{f} \circ X_{\alpha \rightarrow \kappa}) = \bar{f} \circ \gamma_X \circ JX_{\alpha \rightarrow \kappa} = (\bar{f} \circ X_{\alpha+1 \rightarrow \kappa}) \circ q_\alpha$$

hence we must have $\bar{f} = f_\kappa$, by transfinite induction.

The above argument shows that the comma category $(X \downarrow U)$ has an initial object, and it is well known that U has a left adjoint if and only if each comma category $(X \downarrow U)$ has an initial object, so this completes the proof of claim (i). For claim (ii), we simply observe that $\mathbf{K}_\lambda(\mathcal{C})$ is closed under colimits for λ -small diagrams in $\mathcal{C}$ (by [lemma 1.9](#)), so the above construction can be carried out entirely in $\mathbf{K}_\lambda(\mathcal{C})$. $\blacksquare$

Theorem 4.22 (The category of algebras for a accessible pointed endofunctor). *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a κ -accessible category, let $J : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a κ -accessible functor, let $\iota : \text{id}_\mathcal{C} \Rightarrow J$ be a natural transformation, and let $\mathcal{C}^{(J, \iota)}$ be the category of algebras for the pointed endofunctor (J, ι) .*

- (i) *The forgetful functor $U : \mathcal{C}^{(J, \iota)} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ creates colimits for small κ -filtered diagrams; and if $\mathcal{C}$ is complete, then $U : \mathcal{C}^{(J, \iota)} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ also creates limits for all small diagrams.*
- (ii) *$\mathcal{C}^{(J, \iota)}$ is an accessible category.*
- (iii) *If $\mathcal{C}$ has pushouts and colimits for chains of length $\leq \kappa$, then $U : \mathcal{C}^{(J, \iota)} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a monadic functor.*

Proof. (i). This is well-known: cf. Propositions 4.3.1 and 4.3.2 in [\[Borceux, 1994\]](#).

(ii). We may construct $\mathcal{C}^{(J, \iota)}$ using inserters and equifiers, as in the proof of Theorem 2.78 in [\[LPAC\]](#).

(iii). The hypotheses of [theorem 4.21](#) are satisfied (because κ -chains are small κ -filtered diagrams), so the forgetful functor $U : \mathcal{C}^{(J, \iota)} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ has a left adjoint. It is not hard to check that the other hypotheses of Beck's monadicity theorem are satisfied, so U is indeed a monadic functor. $\square$

Theorem 4.23 (The category of algebras for a strongly accessible pointed endofunctor). *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a locally λ -presentable category, let $J : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a functor that preserves colimits for small κ -filtered diagrams, let $\iota : \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \Rightarrow J$ be a natural transformation, let $\mathcal{C}^{(J, \iota)}$ be the category of algebras for the pointed endofunctor (J, ι) , and let $\mathbb{T} = (T, \eta, \mu)$ be the induced monad on $\mathcal{C}$. If $J : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a strongly λ -accessible functor and $\kappa < \lambda$, then:*

- (i) *The functor $T : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ preserves colimits for small κ -filtered diagrams and is strongly λ -accessible.*
- (ii) *$\mathcal{C}^{(J, \iota)}$ is a locally κ -presentable category.*
- (iii) *The forgetful functor $U : \mathcal{C}^{(J, \iota)} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a strongly λ -accessible functor.*

Proof. (i). We know that the forgetful functor $U : \mathcal{C}^{(J, \iota)} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ creates colimits for small κ -filtered diagrams when $J : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ preserves colimits for small κ -filtered diagrams, so $T : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ must also preserve these colimits. Moreover, [theorem 4.21](#) implies $T : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is strongly λ -accessible if $J : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is.

(ii). Apply [theorem 4.18](#).

(iii). Apply [theorem 4.20](#). ■

5 Compact model categories

First, we must establish some properties of cofibrantly generated weak factorisation systems on locally presentable categories.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category and let $\mathcal{I}$ be a subset of $\text{mor } \mathcal{C}$. If the domains and codomains of morphisms in $\mathcal{I}$ are κ -compact in $\mathcal{C}$, then $\mathcal{I}^{\square}$ (regarded as a full subcategory of $[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}]$) is closed under colimits for small κ -filtered diagrams in $[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}]$.*

Proof. By [proposition 4.7](#), any element of $\mathcal{I}$ is κ -compact as an object in $[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}]$. Thus, given any morphism $\phi : e \rightarrow \varinjlim_{\mathcal{J}} f$ in $[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}]$ where e is in $\mathcal{I}$ and $f : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow [\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}]$ is a small κ -filtered diagram with each vertex in $\mathcal{I}^{\square}$, ϕ must factor through $fj \rightarrow \varinjlim_{\mathcal{J}} f$ for some j in $\mathcal{J}$ (by considering $\varinjlim_{\mathcal{J}} [\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}](e, f)$) and so we can construct the required lift. ■

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a κ -accessible category and let $\mathcal{R}$ be a κ -accessible full subcategory of $[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}]$. If $g : Z \rightarrow W$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{C}$ and both Z and W are κ -compact objects in $\mathcal{C}$, then:*

- (i) *Given a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in $\mathcal{C}$ that is in $\mathcal{R}$, any morphism $g \rightarrow f$ in $[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}]$ admits a factorisation of the form $g \rightarrow f' \rightarrow f$ where f' is in $\mathbf{K}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{R})$.*

- (ii) The morphism $g : Z \rightarrow W$ has the left lifting property with respect to $\mathcal{R}$ if and only if it has the left lifting property with respect to $\mathbf{K}_\kappa(\mathcal{R})$.

Proof. (i). [Proposition 4.7](#) says that g is a κ -compact object in $[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}]$; but every object in $\mathcal{R}$ is the colimit of a small κ -filtered diagram of κ -compact objects in $\mathcal{R}$, and the inclusion $\mathcal{R} \hookrightarrow [\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}]$ is κ -accessible, so any morphism $g \rightarrow f$ must factor through some κ -compact object in $\mathcal{R}$.

- (ii). If g has the left lifting property with respect to $\mathcal{R}$, then it certainly has the left lifting property with respect to $\mathbf{K}_\kappa(\mathcal{R})$. Conversely, by factorising morphisms $g \rightarrow f$ as in claim (i), we see that g has the left lifting property with respect to $\mathcal{R}$ as soon as it has the left lifting property with respect to $\mathbf{K}_\kappa(\mathcal{R})$. $\blacksquare$

Proposition 5.3. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a locally presentable category, let (L, R) be a functorial weak factorisation system on $\mathcal{C}$, and let $\lambda : \text{id}_{[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}]} \Rightarrow R$ be the natural transformation whose component at an object f in $[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}]$ corresponds to the following commutative square in $\mathcal{C}$:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bullet & \xrightarrow{Lf} & \bullet \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow Rf \\ \bullet & \xlongequal{\quad} & \bullet \end{array}$$

Let $\mathcal{R}$ be the full subcategory of $[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}]$ spanned by the morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$ that are in the right class of the induced weak factorisation system.

- (i) $\mathcal{R}$ is also the full subcategory of $[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}]$ spanned by the image of the forgetful functor $[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}]^{(R, \lambda)} \rightarrow [\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}]$, where $[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}]^{(R, \lambda)}$ is the category of algebras for the pointed endofunctor (R, λ) .
- (ii) If $R : [\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}] \rightarrow [\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}]$ is an accessible functor, then $[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}]^{(R, \lambda)}$ is a locally presentable category, and the forgetful functor $[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}]^{(R, \lambda)} \rightarrow [\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}]$ is monadic.
- (iii) If $R : [\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}] \rightarrow [\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}]$ is strongly π -accessible and preserves colimits for κ -filtered diagrams for some regular cardinal $\kappa < \pi$, and $\mathcal{R}$ is closed under colimits for small π -filtered diagrams in $[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}]$, then $\mathcal{R}$ is a π -accessible subcategory of $[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}]$.

Proof. (i). This is [proposition 2.7](#).

- (ii). Apply [theorem 4.22](#).

- (iii). By [theorem 4.23](#), $[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}]^{(R, \lambda)}$ is a locally π -presentable category, and the forgetful functor $[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}]^{(R, \lambda)} \rightarrow [\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}]$ is moreover strongly π -accessible. Thus, we may apply [proposition 4.17](#) to claim (i) and deduce that $\mathcal{R}$ is a π -accessible subcategory. $\blacksquare$

Proposition 5.4. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a locally presentable category, and let $\mathcal{I}$ be a subset of $\text{mor } \mathcal{C}$. Then $\mathcal{I}^\square$, considered as a full subcategory of $[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}]$, is an accessible subcategory.*

Proof. Combine [theorem 2.9](#) and [proposition 5.3](#). ■

To apply the above results to the theory of combinatorial model categories, it is useful to collect some convenient hypotheses together as a definition:

Definition 5.5. Let κ and λ be regular cardinals. A **strongly (κ, λ) -combinatorial model category** is a combinatorial model category $\mathcal{M}$ that satisfies these axioms:

- $\mathcal{M}$ is a locally κ -presentable category, and $\kappa \triangleleft \lambda$.
- $\mathbf{K}_\lambda(\mathcal{M})$ is closed under finite limits in $\mathcal{M}$.
- Each hom-set in $\mathbf{K}_\kappa(\mathcal{M})$ is λ -small.
- There exist λ -small sets of morphisms in $\mathbf{K}_\kappa(\mathcal{M})$ that cofibrantly generate the model structure of $\mathcal{M}$.

Example 5.6. Let $\mathbf{sSet}$ be the category of simplicial sets. $\mathbf{sSet}$, equipped with the Kan–Quillen model structure, is a strongly $(\aleph_0, \aleph_1)$ -combinatorial model category.

Example 5.7. Let R be a ring, let $\mathbf{Ch}(R)$ be the category of unbounded chain complexes of left R -modules, and let λ be an uncountable regular cardinal such that R is λ -small (as a set).

- It is not hard to verify that $\mathbf{Ch}(R)$ is a locally $\aleph_0$ -presentable category where the $\aleph_0$ -compact objects are the bounded chain complexes of finitely presented left R -modules.
- The λ -compact objects are precisely the chain complexes $M_\bullet$ such that $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |M_n| < \lambda$, so the full subcategory of λ -compact objects is closed under finite limits.
- By considering matrices over R , we may deduce that the set of chain maps between any two $\aleph_0$ -compact objects in $\mathbf{Ch}(R)$ is λ -small.
- The cofibrations in the projective model structure on $\mathbf{Ch}(R)$ are generated by a countable set of chain maps between $\aleph_0$ -compact chain complexes, as are the trivial cofibrations.

Thus, $\mathbf{Ch}(R)$ is a strongly $(\aleph_0, \lambda)$ -combinatorial model category.

Example 5.8. Let $\mathbf{Sp}^\Sigma$ be the category of symmetric spectra of [Hovey, Shipley and Smith, 2000] and let λ be a regular cardinal such that $\aleph_1 \triangleleft \lambda$ and $2^{\aleph_0} < \lambda$. (Such a cardinal exists, by [proposition 1.17](#).)

- The category of pointed simplicial sets, $\mathbf{sSet}_*$, is locally $\aleph_0$ -presentable; hence, so is the category $[\Sigma, \mathbf{sSet}_*]$ of symmetric sequences of pointed simplicial sets, by [proposition 4.6](#). There is a symmetric monoidal closed structure on $[\Sigma, \mathbf{sSet}_*]$ such that $\mathbf{Sp}^\Sigma$ is equivalent to the category of S -modules, where S is (the underlying symmetric sequence of) the sphere spectrum; thus, by [theorem 4.18](#), $\mathbf{Sp}^\Sigma$ itself is a locally $\aleph_0$ -presentable category.
- Since (the underlying symmetric sequence of) S is an $\aleph_1$ -compact object in $[\Sigma, \mathbf{sSet}_*]$, we can apply [proposition 4.7](#) and [theorem 4.20](#) to deduce that the $\aleph_1$ -compact objects in $\mathbf{Sp}^\Sigma$ are precisely the ones whose underlying symmetric sequence consists of countable simplicial sets. Hence, $\mathbf{K}_{\aleph_1}(\mathbf{Sp}^\Sigma)$ is closed under finite limits, and the same is true for $\mathbf{K}_\lambda(\mathbf{Sp}^\Sigma)$ because $\aleph_1 \triangleleft \lambda$.
- It is clear that there are $\leq 2^{\aleph_0}$ morphisms between two $\aleph_1$ -compact symmetric sequences; in particular, there are $< \lambda$ morphisms between two $\aleph_1$ -compact symmetric spectra.
- The functor $(-)_n : \mathbf{Sp}^\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}$ that sends a symmetric spectrum X to the simplicial set X_n preserves filtered colimits, so by [proposition 1.20](#), its left adjoint $F_n : \mathbf{sSet} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sp}^\Sigma$ preserves $\aleph_0$ -compactness. Thus, the set of generating cofibrations for the stable model structure on $\mathbf{Sp}^\Sigma$ given by Proposition 3.4.2 in op. cit. is a countable set of morphisms between $\aleph_0$ -compact symmetric spectra.

Using the fact that the mapping cylinder of a morphism between two $\aleph_1$ -compact symmetric spectra is also an $\aleph_1$ -compact symmetric spectrum, we deduce that the set of generating trivial cofibrations given in Definition 3.4.9 in op. cit. is a countable set of morphisms between $\aleph_1$ -compact symmetric spectra.

We therefore conclude that $\mathbf{Sp}^\Sigma$ is a strongly $(\aleph_1, \lambda)$ -combinatorial model category.

Proposition 5.9. *For any combinatorial model category $\mathcal{M}$, there exist regular cardinals κ and λ making $\mathcal{M}$ into a strongly (κ, λ) -combinatorial model category.*

Proof. Apply [proposition 1.17](#). ■

Proposition 5.10. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a strongly (κ, λ) -combinatorial model category.*

- (i) *There exist (trivial cofibration, fibration)- and (cofibration, trivial fibration)-factorisation functors that are κ -accessible and strongly λ -accessible.*
- (ii) *Let $\mathcal{F}$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}'$) be the full subcategory of $[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{M}]$ spanned by the fibrations (resp. trivial fibrations). Then $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}'$ are closed under colimits for small κ -filtered diagrams in $[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{M}]$.*

Proof. (i). Since the weak factorisation systems on $\mathcal{M}$ are cofibrantly generated by λ -small sets of morphisms in $\mathbf{K}_\kappa(\mathcal{M})$ and $\mathbf{K}_\kappa(\mathcal{M})$ is locally λ -small, we may apply [theorem 2.9](#) to obtain the required functorial weak factorisation systems.

(ii). This is [lemma 5.1](#). ■

Theorem 5.11. *Let (L', R) and (L, R') be functorial weak factorisation systems on a locally presentable category $\mathcal{M}$ and let $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}'$ be the full subcategories of $[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{M}]$ spanned by the morphisms in the right class of the weak factorisation systems induced by (L', R) and (L, R') , respectively. Suppose κ and λ are regular cardinals satisfying the following hypotheses:*

- *$\mathcal{M}$ is a locally κ -presentable category, and $\kappa \triangleleft \lambda$.*
- *$\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}'$ are closed under colimits for small κ -filtered diagrams in $[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{M}]$.*
- *$R, R' : [\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{M}] \rightarrow [\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{M}]$ preserve colimits for small κ -filtered diagrams and are strongly λ -accessible functors.*

Let $\mathcal{C}'$ be the full subcategory of $[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{M}]$ spanned by the morphisms in the left class of the weak factorisation system induced by (L', R) and let $\mathcal{W}$ be the preimage of $\mathcal{F}'$ under the functor $R : [\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{M}] \rightarrow [\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{M}]$. Then:

- (i) *The functorial weak factorisation systems (L', R) and (L, R') restrict to functorial weak factorisation systems on $\mathbf{K}_\lambda(\mathcal{M})$.*
- (ii) *The inclusions $\mathcal{F} \hookrightarrow [\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{M}]$ and $\mathcal{F}' \hookrightarrow [\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{M}]$ are strongly λ -accessible functors.*
- (iii) *$\mathcal{W}$ is closed under colimits for small κ -filtered diagrams in $[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{M}]$, and the inclusion $\mathcal{W} \hookrightarrow [\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{M}]$ is a strongly λ -accessible functor.*
- (iv) *$\mathcal{C}' \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ if and only if the same holds in $\mathbf{K}_\lambda(\mathcal{M})$.*
- (v) *$\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{F}$ if and only if the same holds in $\mathbf{K}_\lambda(\mathcal{M})$.*

- (vi) $\mathcal{W}$ (regarded as a class of morphisms in $\mathcal{M}$) has the 2-out-of-3 property in $\mathcal{M}$ if and only if the same is true in $\mathbf{K}_\lambda(\mathcal{M})$.
- (vii) The weak factorisation systems induced by (L', R) and (L, R') underlie a model structure on $\mathcal{M}$ if and only if the restrictions to $\mathbf{K}_\lambda(\mathcal{M})$ underlie a model structure on $\mathbf{K}_\lambda(\mathcal{M})$.

Proof. (i). It is clear that we can restrict (L', R) and (L, R') to obtain functorial factorisation systems on $\mathbf{K}_\lambda(\mathcal{M})$, and these are functorial *weak* factorisation systems by [theorem 2.6](#).

(ii). Since $R, R' : [\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{M}] \rightarrow [\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{M}]$ are strongly λ -accessible, we may use [proposition 5.3](#) to deduce that the inclusions $\mathcal{F} \hookrightarrow [\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{M}]$ and $\mathcal{F}' \hookrightarrow [\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{M}]$ are strongly λ -accessible.

(iii). Since $\mathcal{F}'$ is a replete subcategory of $[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{M}]$, we may use [proposition 4.16](#) to deduce that $\mathcal{W}$ is closed under colimits for small κ -filtered diagrams in $[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{M}]$ and that the inclusion $\mathcal{W} \hookrightarrow [\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{M}]$ is a strongly λ -accessible functor.


(iv). The endofunctor $L' : [\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{M}] \rightarrow [\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{M}]$ is strongly λ -accessible, and $\mathcal{W}$ is closed under colimits for small λ -filtered diagrams, so (recalling [propositions 4.6](#) and [4.7](#)) if L' sends the subcategory $[\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{K}_\lambda(\mathcal{M})]$ to $\mathcal{W}$, then the entirety of the image of L' must be contained in $\mathcal{W}$. [Proposition 2.7](#) implies every object in $\mathcal{C}'$ is a retract of an object in the image of L' , and claim (iii) implies $\mathcal{W}$ is closed under retracts, so we may deduce that $\mathcal{C}' \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ if and only if $\mathcal{C}' \cap [\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{K}_\lambda(\mathcal{M})] \subseteq \mathcal{W} \cap [\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{K}_\lambda(\mathcal{M})]$.

(v). Claims (ii) and (iii) and [proposition 4.16](#) imply the inclusion $\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{F} \hookrightarrow [\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{M}]$ is strongly λ -accessible; but by [propositions 4.7](#) and [4.15](#),

$$\mathbf{K}_\lambda(\mathcal{F}') = \mathcal{F}' \cap [\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{K}_\lambda(\mathcal{M})] \quad \mathbf{K}_\lambda(\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{F}) = (\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{F}) \cap [\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{K}_\lambda(\mathcal{M})]$$

so $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{F}$ if and only if $\mathcal{F}' \cap [\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{K}_\lambda(\mathcal{M})] = (\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{F}) \cap [\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{K}_\lambda(\mathcal{M})]$.

(vi). Consider the three full subcategories $\Lambda_i^2(\mathcal{W})$ (where $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$) of $[\mathbf{3}, \mathcal{M}]$ spanned (respectively) by the diagrams of the form below:



By [proposition 4.3](#), each inclusion $\Lambda_i^2(\mathcal{W}) \hookrightarrow [\mathbf{3}, \mathcal{M}]$ is the pullback of a strongly λ -accessible inclusion of a full subcategory of $[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{M}]^{\times 3}$ along the evident projection functor $[\mathbf{3}, \mathcal{M}] \rightarrow [\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{M}]^{\times 3}$; thus, each inclusion $\Lambda_i^2(\mathcal{W}) \hookrightarrow$

$[3, \mathcal{M}]$ is a strongly λ -accessible functor. We may then use [proposition 4.15](#) as above to prove the claim.

(vii). Apply lemmas [3.4](#) and [3.5](#). ■

Corollary 5.12. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a strongly (κ, λ) -combinatorial model category. Then the full subcategory $\mathcal{W}$ of $[2, \mathcal{M}]$ spanned by the weak equivalences is closed under colimits for small κ -filtered diagrams in $[2, \mathcal{M}]$, and the inclusion $\mathcal{W} \hookrightarrow [2, \mathcal{M}]$ is a strongly λ -accessible functor.*

Proof. Combine [proposition 5.10](#) and [theorem 5.11](#). ■

[Theorem 5.11](#) suggests that free λ -ind-completions of suitable small model categories are combinatorial model categories. To prove this, we must first understand how properties of a locally κ -presentable category $\mathcal{M}$ are reflected in the full subcategory $\mathbf{K}_\lambda(\mathcal{M})$ of λ -compact objects in $\mathcal{M}$.

Definition 5.13. Let κ and λ be regular cardinals. A (κ, λ) -**compactly generated category** is an essentially small category $\mathcal{C}$ that satisfies the following conditions:

- $\mathcal{C}$ has colimits for all λ -small κ -filtered diagrams.
- Every object in $\mathcal{C}$ is a colimit for some λ -small κ -filtered diagram of (κ, λ) -compact objects in $\mathcal{C}$.

REMARK 5.14. Since a κ -small κ -filtered category has a cofinal idempotent, an essentially small category is (κ, κ) -compactly generated if and only if it is Cauchy-complete, i.e. if and only if all idempotent endomorphisms in $\mathcal{C}$ are split.

Proposition 5.15. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a κ -accessible category.*

- (i) $\mathbf{K}_\kappa(\mathcal{C})$ is a (κ, κ) -compactly generated category, and every object in $\mathbf{K}_\kappa(\mathcal{C})$ is (κ, κ) -compact.
- (ii) If λ is a regular cardinal and $\kappa \triangleleft \lambda$, then $\mathbf{K}_\lambda(\mathcal{C})$ is a (κ, λ) -compactly generated category, and the (κ, λ) -compact objects in $\mathbf{K}_\lambda(\mathcal{C})$ are precisely the κ -compact objects in $\mathcal{C}$.

Proof. (i). This follows from [remark 5.14](#).

(ii). Combine [lemma 1.9](#) and [proposition 1.18](#). ■

Proposition 5.16. *Let κ and λ be regular cardinals, let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be small categories, and let $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a fully faithful functor. Assume the following hypotheses:*

- $\kappa \leq \lambda$.
- $\mathcal{A}$ is a Cauchy-complete category and $\mathcal{B}$ has colimits for λ -small κ -filtered diagrams.
- Each FA is a (κ, λ) -compact object in $\mathcal{B}$, and each object in $\mathcal{B}$ is a colimit for a λ -small κ -filtered diagram of objects in the image of F .

Then:

- (i) Every (κ, λ) -compact object in $\mathcal{B}$ is isomorphic to an object in the image of $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$.
- (ii) There exists a functor $U : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ind}^\kappa(\mathcal{A})$ equipped with a natural bijection of the form below,

$$\mathbf{Ind}^\kappa(\mathcal{A})(A, UB) \cong \mathcal{B}(FA, B)$$

and it is unique up to unique isomorphism.

- (iii) Moreover, the functor $U : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ind}^\kappa(\mathcal{A})$ is fully faithful and essentially surjective onto the full subcategory of λ -compact objects in $\mathbf{Ind}^\kappa(\mathcal{A})$.
- (iv) $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a dense functor.
- (v) If $\kappa \triangleleft \lambda$, then the λ -accessible functor $\bar{U} : \mathbf{Ind}^\lambda(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ind}^\kappa(\mathcal{A})$ induced by $U : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ind}^\kappa(\mathcal{A})$ is fully faithful and essentially surjective on objects.

Proof. (i). Let B be an object in $\mathcal{B}$. By hypothesis, there is a λ -small κ -filtered diagram $Y : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that each Yj is in the image of F and $B \cong \varinjlim_{\mathcal{J}} Y$. Thus, if B is a (κ, λ) -compact object in $\mathcal{B}$, then B must be a retract of some Yj . But $\mathcal{A}$ is Cauchy-complete and $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is fully faithful, so B must be isomorphic to some object in the image of F .

(ii). The assumptions imply each functor $\mathcal{B}(F-, B) : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ is a colimit for a λ -small κ -filtered diagram of functors of the form $\mathcal{A}(-, A')$ for various A' in $\mathcal{A}$. Hence, for each object B in $\mathcal{B}$, there exist an object UB in $\mathbf{Ind}^\kappa(\mathcal{A})$ and bijections

$$\mathbf{Ind}^\kappa(\mathcal{A})(A, UB) \cong \mathcal{B}(FA, B)$$

that are natural in A . Since the canonical embedding $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ind}^\kappa(\mathcal{A})$ is dense, we thus obtain a functor $U : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ind}^\kappa(\mathcal{A})$ with the required property.

(iii). It is clear that U is a fully faithful functor that preserves colimits for λ -small κ -filtered diagrams. We may then apply [proposition 1.18](#) to deduce that every λ -compact object in $\mathbf{Ind}^\kappa(\mathcal{A})$ is isomorphic to one in the image of U .

(iv). This follows from claim (iii) and the fact that the canonical embedding $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ind}^\kappa(\mathcal{A})$ is dense.

(v). If $\kappa \triangleleft \lambda$, then [theorem 1.16](#) says $\mathbf{Ind}^\kappa(\mathcal{A})$ is a λ -accessible category, so we may apply the classification of accessible categories to deduce that $\bar{U} : \mathbf{Ind}^\lambda(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ind}^\kappa(\mathcal{A})$ is fully faithful and essentially surjective on objects. ■

Theorem 5.17 (Classification of compactly generated categories). *Let κ and λ be regular cardinals. If either $\kappa = \lambda$ or $\kappa \triangleleft \lambda$, then the following are equivalent for an essentially small Cauchy-complete category $\mathcal{C}$:*

- (i) $\mathcal{C}$ is a (κ, λ) -compactly generated category.
- (ii) $\mathbf{Ind}^\lambda(\mathcal{C})$ is a κ -accessible category.
- (iii) $\mathcal{C}$ is equivalent to $\mathbf{K}_\lambda(\mathcal{D})$ for some κ -accessible category $\mathcal{D}$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). See [proposition 5.16](#).

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Since $\mathcal{C}$ is Cauchy-complete, each λ -compact object in $\mathbf{Ind}^\lambda(\mathcal{C})$ is isomorphic to some object in the image of the canonical embedding $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ind}^\lambda(\mathcal{C})$.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). See [proposition 5.15](#). ■

Corollary 5.18. *If $\mathcal{C}$ is a (κ, λ) -compactly generated category, then so is $[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{C}]$.*

Proof. Combine [lemma 4.9](#), [theorem 5.17](#), and [corollary 4.11](#). ■

We now return to the subject of model categories.

Definition 5.19. Let κ and λ be regular cardinals. A (κ, λ) -**compact model category** is a model category $\mathcal{M}$ that satisfies these axioms:

- $\mathcal{M}$ is a (κ, λ) -compactly generated category, and $\kappa \triangleleft \lambda$.
- $\mathcal{M}$ has limits for finite diagrams and colimits for λ -small diagrams.
- Each hom-set in $\mathbf{K}_\kappa^\lambda(\mathcal{M})$ is λ -small.
- There exist λ -small sets of morphisms in $\mathbf{K}_\kappa^\lambda(\mathcal{M})$ that cofibrantly generate the model structure of $\mathcal{M}$.

Proposition 5.20. *If $\mathcal{M}$ is a strongly (κ, λ) -combinatorial model category, then $\mathbf{K}_\lambda(\mathcal{M})$ is a (κ, λ) -compact model category (with the weak equivalences, cofibrations, and fibrations inherited from $\mathcal{M}$).*

Proof. By [proposition 5.15](#), $\mathbf{K}_\lambda(\mathcal{M})$ is a (κ, λ) -compactly generated category, and [lemma 1.9](#) implies it is closed under colimits for λ -small diagrams in $\mathcal{M}$. Now, choose a pair of functorial factorisation systems as in [proposition 5.10](#), and recall that [theorem 2.6](#) says a morphism is in the left (resp. right) class of a functorial weak factorisation system if and only if it is a retract of the left (resp. right) half of its functorial factorisation. Since we chose factorisation functors that are strongly λ -accessible, it follows that the weak factorisation systems on $\mathcal{M}$ restricts to weak factorisation systems on $\mathbf{K}_\lambda(\mathcal{M})$. It is then clear that $\mathbf{K}_\lambda(\mathcal{M})$ inherits a model structure from $\mathcal{M}$, and [lemma 5.2](#) implies the model structure on $\mathbf{K}_\lambda(\mathcal{M})$ can be cofibrantly generated by λ -small sets of morphisms in $\mathbf{K}_\kappa(\mathcal{M})$. The remaining axioms for a λ -compact model category are easily verified. $\blacksquare$

Theorem 5.21. *Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a (κ, λ) -compact model category and let $\mathcal{M}$ be the free λ -ind-completion $\mathbf{Ind}^\lambda(\mathcal{K})$. Then there is a unique way of making $\mathcal{M}$ into a strongly (κ, λ) -combinatorial model category such that the canonical embedding $\mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ preserves and reflects the model structure.*

Proof. We will regard $\mathcal{K}$ as a full subcategory of $\mathcal{M}$ via the canonical embedding $\mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$. Let $\mathcal{I}$ (resp. $\mathcal{I}'$) be a λ -small set of morphisms in $\mathbf{K}_\kappa^\lambda(\mathcal{K})$ that generate the cofibrations (resp. trivial cofibrations) in $\mathcal{K}$. Let (L', R) and (L, R') be functorial weak factorisation systems cofibrantly generated by $\mathcal{I}'$ and $\mathcal{I}$ respectively; by [theorem 2.9](#), we may assume $R, R' : [\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{M}] \rightarrow [\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{M}]$ preserve colimits for small κ -filtered diagrams and are strongly λ -accessible functors.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}'$ be the full subcategories of $[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{M}]$ spanned by the right class of the weak factorisation systems induced by (L', R) and (L, R') , respectively. It is not hard to see that any morphism in $\mathcal{K}$ is an object in $\mathcal{F}$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}'$) if and only if it is a fibration (resp. trivial fibration) in $\mathcal{K}$. [Lemma 5.1](#) says $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}'$ are closed under colimits for small κ -filtered diagrams in $[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{M}]$, so we may now apply [theorem 5.11](#) to deduce that $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}'$ induce a model structure on $\mathcal{M}$. It is clear that $\mathcal{M}$ equipped with this model structure is then a strongly (κ, λ) -combinatorial model category in a way that is compatible with the canonical embedding $\mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$.

Finally, to see that the above construction is the unique way of making $\mathcal{M}$ into a strongly (κ, λ) -combinatorial model category satisfying the given conditions, we simply have to observe that the model structure of a strongly (κ, λ) -combinatorial model category is necessarily cofibrantly generated by the cofibrations and trivial cofibrations in (a small skeleton of) $\mathbf{K}_\kappa(\mathcal{M})$ (independently of the choice of $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{I}'$). $\blacksquare$

REMARK 5.22. Let $\mathbf{U}$ and $\mathbf{U}^+$ be universes, with $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbf{U}^+$, let $\mathcal{M}$ be a strongly (κ, λ) -combinatorial model $\mathbf{U}$ -category, and let $\mathcal{M} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}^+$ be a $(\kappa, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{U}^+)$ -

extension in the sense of [Low, 2013]. By combining [proposition 5.20](#) and [theorem 5.21](#), we may deduce that there is a unique way of making $\mathcal{M}^+$ into a strongly (κ, λ) -combinatorial model $\mathbf{U}^+$ -category such that the embedding $\mathcal{M} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}^+$ preserves and reflects the model structure. In other words, combinatorial model categories are stable under universe enlargement.

The techniques used in the proof of [theorem 5.11](#) are easily generalised to establish other properties that a combinatorial model category might have.

Theorem 5.23. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a strongly (κ, λ) -combinatorial model category. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) $\mathcal{M}$ is a right proper model category.
- (ii) $\mathbf{K}_\lambda(\mathcal{M})$ is a right proper model category.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Immediate, because the model structure on $\mathbf{K}_\lambda(\mathcal{M})$ is the restriction of the model structure on $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathbf{K}_\lambda(\mathcal{M})$ is closed under finite limits in $\mathcal{M}$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Let $\mathcal{D} = \{\bullet \rightarrow \bullet \leftarrow \bullet\}$, i.e. the category freely generated by a cospan. Since $\mathcal{D}$ is a finite category and $\mathcal{M}$ is a locally κ -presentable category, [proposition 4.6](#) says $[\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{M}]$ is also a locally κ -presentable category, and [proposition 4.7](#) implies the κ -compact objects in $[\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{M}]$ are precisely the componentwise κ -compact objects. Thus, the functor $\Delta : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow [\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{M}]$ is strongly κ -accessible, and [proposition 1.20](#) says its right adjoint $\varprojlim_{\mathcal{D}} : [\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{M}] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is κ -accessible; moreover, it is strongly λ -accessible because $\mathbf{K}_\lambda(\mathcal{M})$ is closed under finite limits in $\mathcal{M}$.

Consider the full subcategory $\mathcal{P} \subseteq [\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{M}]$ spanned by those diagrams in $\mathcal{M}$ of the form below,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \bullet \\ & & \downarrow w \\ \bullet & \xrightarrow{p} & \bullet \end{array}$$

where p is a fibration and w is a weak equivalence. [Proposition 5.10](#), [theorem 5.11](#), [corollary 5.12](#), and [proposition 4.16](#) together imply that $\mathcal{P}$ is closed under colimits for small κ -filtered diagrams in $[\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{M}]$ and that the inclusion $\mathcal{P} \hookrightarrow [\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{M}]$ is a strongly λ -accessible functor. Since $\varprojlim_{\mathcal{D}} : [\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{M}] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is strongly λ -accessible and the class of weak equivalences in $\mathcal{M}$ is closed under λ -filtered colimits in $[\mathbf{2}, \mathcal{M}]$, it follows that $\mathcal{M}$ is right proper if $\mathbf{K}_\lambda(\mathcal{M})$ is. $\blacksquare$

REMARK 5.24. It is tempting to say that the analogous proposition for left properness follows by duality; unfortunately, the opposite of a combinatorial model category is almost never a combinatorial model category! Nonetheless,

the main idea in the proof above can be made to work under the assumption that the class of cofibrations is generated under colimits for small λ -filtered diagrams of cofibrations in $\mathbf{K}_\lambda(\mathcal{M})$. It is not clear whether this hypothesis is always satisfied if we only assume that $\mathcal{M}$ is a strongly (κ, λ) -combinatorial model category, but it is certainly true if λ is sufficiently large, because the category of coalgebras for an accessible copointed endofunctor is always accessible (by an analogue of [theorem 4.23](#)).

Theorem 5.25. *Let $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$ be a locally small simplicially enriched category where the underlying ordinary category $\mathcal{M}$ is equipped with a model structure making it a strongly (κ, λ) -combinatorial model category. Assuming the simplicially enriched full subcategory $\mathbf{K}_\lambda(\underline{\mathcal{M}}) \subseteq \underline{\mathcal{M}}$ determined by $\mathbf{K}_\lambda(\mathcal{M})$ is closed under cotensor products with finite simplicial sets in $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$, the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$ is a simplicial model category.
- (ii) The model structure of $\mathbf{K}_\lambda(\underline{\mathcal{M}})$ satisfies axiom SM7.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Immediate, because the model structure of $\mathbf{K}_\lambda(\mathcal{M})$ is the restriction of the model structure of $\mathcal{M}$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Recalling the fact that $\mathbf{sSet}$ is a strongly $(\aleph_0, \aleph_1)$ -combinatorial model category, this is a consequence of [propositions 3.9](#) and [5.10](#). ■

REMARK 5.26. In view of the above theorem, it seems very likely that the free λ -ind-completion of a suitable small simplicial model category will again be a simplicial model category. To prove this, we require the technology of enriched accessibility introduced by Kelly [\[1982\]](#) and Borceux and Quinteriro [\[1996\]](#); in fact, the only thing we need is to show that the free λ -ind-completion of λ -cocomplete $\mathbf{sSet}$ -enriched category is a cocomplete $\mathbf{sSet}$ -enriched category, and this can be done by mimicking the proof for the case of ordinary categories. The details are left to the reader.

References

- Adámek, Jiří, Horst Herrlich, Jiří Rosický and Walter Tholen
 [2002] ‘Weak factorization systems and topological functors’. In: *Appl. Categ. Structures* 10.3 (2002). Papers in honour of the seventieth birthday of Professor Heinrich Kleisli (Fribourg, 2000), pp. 237–249. ISSN: 0927-2852. DOI: [10.1023/A:1015270120061](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1015270120061).
- Adámek, Jiří and Jiří Rosický
 [LPAC] *Locally presentable and accessible categories*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 189. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1994. xiv+316. ISBN: 0-521-42261-2. DOI: [10.1017/CB09780511600579](https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09780511600579).

Beke, Tibor

- [2000] ‘Sheaffiable homotopy model categories’. In: *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 129.3 (2000), pp. 447–475. ISSN: 0305-0041. DOI: [10.1017/S0305004100004722](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004100004722).

Borceux, Francis

- [1994] *Handbook of categorical algebra. 2. Categories and structures*. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications 51. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1994. xviii+443. ISBN: 0-521-44179-X.

Borceux, Francis and Carmen Quinteriro

- [1996] ‘Enriched accessible categories’. In: *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 54.3 (1996), pp. 489–501. ISSN: 0004-9727. DOI: [10.1017/S0004972700021900](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0004972700021900).

Chorny, Boris and Jiří Rosický

- [2012] ‘Class-locally presentable and class-accessible categories’. In: *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 216.10 (2012), pp. 2113–2125. ISSN: 0022-4049. DOI: [10.1016/j.jpaa.2012.01.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaa.2012.01.015).

Dugger, Daniel

- [2001] ‘Combinatorial model categories have presentations’. In: *Adv. Math.* 164.1 (2001), pp. 177–201. ISSN: 0001-8708. DOI: [10.1006/aima.2001.2015](https://doi.org/10.1006/aima.2001.2015).

Gabriel, Peter and Friedrich Ulmer

- [1971] *Lokal präsentierbare Kategorien*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 221. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1971. v+200.

Garner, Richard

- [2009] ‘Understanding the small object argument’. In: *Appl. Categ. Structures* 17.3 (2009), pp. 247–285. ISSN: 0927-2852. DOI: [10.1007/s10485-008-9137-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10485-008-9137-4).

Hirschhorn, Philip S.

- [2003] *Model categories and their localizations*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 99. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society, 2003. xvi+457. ISBN: 0-8218-3279-4.

Hovey, Mark, Brooke Shipley and Jeffrey H. Smith

- [2000] ‘Symmetric spectra’. In: *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 13.1 (2000), pp. 149–208. ISSN: 0894-0347. DOI: [10.1090/S0894-0347-99-00320-3](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0894-0347-99-00320-3).

Kelly, G. Maxwell

- [1980] ‘A unified treatment of transfinite constructions for free algebras, free monoids, colimits, associated sheaves, and so on’. In: *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 22.1 (1980), pp. 1–83. ISSN: 0004-9727. DOI: [10.1017/S0004972700006353](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0004972700006353).

[1982] ‘Structures defined by finite limits in the enriched context. I’. In: *Cahiers Topologie Géom. Différentielle* 23.1 (1982). Third Colloquium on Categories, Part VI (Amiens, 1980), pp. 3–42. ISSN: 0008-0004.

Low, Zhen Lin

[2013] *Universes for category theory*. 18th Apr. 2013. arXiv:[1304.5227](#).

Lurie, Jacob

[HTT] *Higher topos theory*. Annals of Mathematics Studies 170. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2009. xviii+925. ISBN: 978-0-691-14049-0; 0-691-14049-9.

Makkai, Michael and Robert Paré

[1989] *Accessible categories: the foundations of categorical model theory*. Contemporary Mathematics 104. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society, 1989. viii+176. ISBN: 0-8218-5111-X. DOI: [10.1090/conm/104](#).

May, J. Peter and Kathleen Ponto

[2012] *More concise algebraic topology. Localization, completion, and model categories*. Chicago Lectures in Mathematics. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press, 2012. xxviii+514. ISBN: 978-0-226-51178-8; 0-226-51178-2.

Smith, Jeffrey H.

[1998]. Barcelona Conference in Algebraic Topology. 1998.